



## **Microbiological Analysis of Dumpsites in Bwari, Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria**

**U. Eleanya Evangeline<sup>1\*</sup>, T. O. Oyegue<sup>1</sup>, P. G. Rwang<sup>1</sup>, T. O. Ozoude<sup>1</sup>  
and N. F. Okey-Ndeche<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Veritas University, Abuja, Nigeria.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author UEE designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors TOO and PGR managed the analyses of the study. Authors TOO and NFON managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/MRJI/2017/33610

#### Editor(s):

(1) Joao Lucio Azevedo, Professor, Department of Genetics, University of Sao Paulo, Escola Superior de Agricultura "Luiz de Queiroz" (ESALQ / USP), Sao Paulo, Brazil.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Jorge Hernández López, México.

(2) H. O. Nwankwoala, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/22528>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2017  
Accepted 6<sup>th</sup> August 2017  
Published 30<sup>th</sup> December 2017**

### **ABSTRACT**

Open dumpsite system is practiced in Bwari as in most cities in Nigeria. Decomposing wastes, soil samples and aerial samples were taken from the two major dumpsites in Bwari; Bwari Complex Dumpsite and Bwari Area Council Dumpsite (referred to dumpsites 1 and 2 respectively in this article). Sabouraud Dextrose, MacConkey and Nutrient agar plates in triplicates were exposed at a height of 2 M around the environment of the dumpsites. Control aerial samples were taken about 2 Km away from the dumpsites. Decomposing wastes and soil samples were collected from the dumpsites on ten consecutive days from different points of the dumpsites. These samples were cultured and incubated into nutrient agar and. Potato dextrose agar plates respectively using standard methods. The mean total bacterial count of the decomposing wastes and soil samples from the two dumpsites (1 and 2) were  $7.4 \times 10^6$ ,  $10.1 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml respectively and  $5.5 \times 10^5$ ,  $6.9 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml respectively. The mean total fungal count from the decomposing wastes and soil samples from the two dumpsites (1 and 2) were  $4.2 \times 10^2$  cfu/ml,  $6.1 \times 10^2$  cfu/ml respectively and  $4.6 \times 10^2$  cfu/ml,  $4.8 \times 10^2$  cfu/ml respectively. The mean total fungal count isolated from the aerial samples was  $0.2 \times 10^1$  cfu/ml and  $0.3 \times 10^1$  cfu/ml respectively. No bacterial growth occurred on the aerial

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [ukachi19@yahoo.com](mailto:ukachi19@yahoo.com);

plates, and no microbe was isolated from the control aerial samples. Visual examination, Gram stain, and biochemical tests were used to identify the organisms. Results obtained were compared with standard references of Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 2nd edition. To identify the fungal isolates, Lactophenol cotton blue test was done on the isolates and viewed under the microscope. The morphology was compared with Standard fungal manuals. *Salmonella spp*, *Pseudomonas spp*, and *Klebsiella spp*, were isolated both from the decomposing wastes and soil samples. *Staphylococcus spp*, *Escherichia coli* and *Citrobacter spp* were isolated only from the decomposing wastes, *Bacillus spp* was isolated only from the soil samples. *Mucor spp*, and *Aspergillus niger* were isolated from both the decomposing wastes, soil and aerial samples. *Fusarium spp* and *Rhizopus spp* were isolated only from the decomposing wastes and soil samples. Management of solid waste reduces or eliminates adverse impacts on the environment, and human health, it supports economic development and improved quality of life.

**Keywords:** Dumpsites; bacterial count; fungal count; aerial samples; colony forming units.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A dumpsite is an arena specifically used for the disposal of wastes. It is an old traditional method of waste disposal similar to landfill method of waste management [1]. Wastes are substances or objects, which are disposed of, are intended to be disposed of, or are required to be disposed of [2]. It can also be described as any substance, solution, mixture or article for which no direct use is envisaged, but which is transported for reprocessing, dumping, elimination by incineration or any other preferred means of disposal [3]. The rapid population increase due to urbanization in Abuja metropolitan areas have caused difficulties for the state and local environmental protection agencies in providing an effective and efficient municipal solid waste management [4]. Management of solid waste reduces or eliminates adverse impacts on the environment, human health, and supports economic development and improved quality of life [5]. When waste is dumped on land, microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi proliferate using the components of the waste materials as source of nutrients for growth as well as degrading the organic materials in the waste [6]. Refuse dumps provide a rich source of microorganisms most of which are pathogenic [7]. Atmospheric transport is a key mode of microbial dispersal [8], and the transmission of airborne plant and animal pathogens can have significant impacts on ecosystems, human health and agricultural productivity.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Area

The study area is Bwari Area Council; located at the North East of the Federal Capital Territory

(FCT), Abuja, Nigeria. The sampling sites were open dumpsites along Bwari express roads. Two sampling sites (Bwari Complex Dumpsite and Bwari Area Council Dumpsite) were chosen randomly and sampled. From each of the sampling sites, decomposing waste and soil samples were collected for microbial analysis. Aerial sampling for microbial analysis was also conducted at each sampling site.

### 2.2 Sample Collection

The decomposing wastes were collected using sterile swab sticks, which were dipped into the wastes at different points on each day. The swab sticks were properly labelled. The samples were labelled (SW: Sample Waste) SW1 and SW2 according to the respective dumpsites sampled in triplicates.

Using a garden rake, the wastes were removed and a hand shovel was used to dig the soil under the waste dump. The soil samples were taken at about 5 cm depth with a sterile wooden spatula and put in properly labelled sterile sample containers. A total of 10 samples in triplicates were collected at various days from different points at each of the sampled waste dumps. All samples were taken to the laboratory immediately for analysis.

Freshly prepared Sabouraud Dextrose, MacConkey and Nutrient agar plates in triplicates were exposed at 2 M high platforms at the respective waste dumpsites for 5 minutes. The plates were covered after the exposure and immediately transported to the laboratory aseptically, incubated at 35°C for 24 hours (for nutrient and MacConkey agar plates) and 48-72 hours for the Sabouraud Dextrose agar plates. A control aerial sampling was done at a distance of

2 km away from the dumpsites. The aerial samples were equally done daily during the period of sample collection.

### **2.3 Total Heterotrophic Bacterial Isolation and Count**

The decomposing waste samples collected with the swab sticks were dissolved in 9 ml of sterile distilled water. From the solution, ten-fold serial dilutions between the ranges  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-9}$  were prepared. 1 ml aliquots of sample dilutions from  $10^{-4}$  (higher dilutions yielded confluent growth while lower dilutions yielded scanty growth) were seeded in triplicates onto freshly prepared Nutrient agar plates containing 0.015% (w/v) nystatin and spread using sterile bent glass rod. The inoculated plates were incubated at 35°C for 24 hours.

A second set of 1 ml aliquots of sample dilutions from the  $10^{-2}$  were inoculated in triplicates onto Sabouraud Dextrose agar containing 0.05% (w/v) Chloramphenicol and spread as described above. The inoculated plates were incubated at room temperature for 3 days.

Soil samples were weighed (1 g) and dissolved in 9 ml sterile distilled water. From the solution, ten-fold serial dilutions were prepared. 1 ml aliquots of sample dilutions from  $10^{-5}$  and  $10^{-1}$  were seeded onto Nutrient agar and Sabouraud Dextrose agar plates respectively. The antifungal and antibiotic contents were same as for the decomposing wastes. The incubation was as described above.

After incubation, the microbial colonies that appeared on the agar plates were enumerated using a colony counter. Visible number of colonies between 30 and 300 were multiplied by the reciprocal of the dilution factors and recorded as colony forming units per ml (cfu/ml) of waste/soil. Each of the dilutions were cultured in triplicates and mean counts were obtained.

Characteristic colonies were sub-cultured severally using nutrient or SDA agar respectively until pure isolates were obtained. Aliquots of the pure isolates were inoculated into Bijou bottles containing peptone water and incubated for 24 hours at 35°C for further identification, the remaining were preserved on agar slants and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C [9].

### **2.4 Identification and Characterization of Isolates**

The purified isolates were characterized and identified. The bacterial strains were identified on the basis of their morphological and biochemical tests, colour, shape, elevation, consistency, margin, catalase test, MR-VP (Methyl Red-Voges Proskauer test), fermentation of sugars (glucose, fructose and sucrose), citrate utilization, indole, and hydrolysis of starch. The results obtained were compared with standard references of Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology [10].

The pure fungal isolates were examined macroscopically. The colony morphology, surface appearance, colour (pigmentation), texture and surface appearance were observed. The microscopic examination was also done. A drop of lactophenol cotton blue was put on a clean, dry, grease-free slide and colonies of the pure isolate was emulsified into it, and examined microscopically. Sexual and asexual reproductive structures like sporangia, conidial head, arthrospores and the vegetative mycelium were observed. Sugar fermentation (glucose, fructose, galactose, lactose, sucrose, maltose and mannose) were also carried out. The results of the cultural, morphological and biochemical characteristics were compared with those of known taxa [11,12].

## **3. RESULTS**

Result from all the analysis carried out in this work have been grouped and presented in Tables as shown below.

## **4. DISCUSSION**

The mean total bacterial count of the two sampled stations on different days were obtained, the highest being dumpsite 2 (Bwari Area Council) which is the major dumpsite for all kinds of waste in Bwari. The mean total heterotrophic bacteria count showed high microbial load of the decomposing waste and soil samples respectively (Tables 1, and 2). This is in agreement with the result of many researchers [13,14,15] who observed varying microbial counts at different dumpsites. This variation may be due to the sizes of dumpsites or the proximity of the dumpsites to the commercial and residential areas.

**Table 1. Total heterotrophic bacterial count**

Sample stations	Mean plate courts of the various days										Total mean plate count (cfu/ml)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Decom W 1	71.10x10 <sup>5</sup>	68.90x10 <sup>5</sup>	69.10x10 <sup>5</sup>	68.20 x10 <sup>5</sup>	71.80x10 <sup>5</sup>	87.10 x10 <sup>5</sup>	78.60x10 <sup>5</sup>	73.10x10 <sup>5</sup>	77.30x10 <sup>5</sup>	75.20x10 <sup>5</sup>	7.4 x10 <sup>6</sup>
Decom W 2	97.40 x10 <sup>5</sup>	95.50x10 <sup>5</sup>	112.00x10 <sup>5</sup>	109.20 x10 <sup>5</sup>	108.50x10 <sup>5</sup>	93.70x10 <sup>5</sup>	90.60x10 <sup>5</sup>	101.20x10 <sup>5</sup>	101.00 x10 <sup>5</sup>	91.80x10 <sup>5</sup>	10.10 x10 <sup>6</sup>
Soil sample 1	59.70x10 <sup>4</sup>	53.10x10 <sup>4</sup>	57.20x10 <sup>4</sup>	58.00 x10 <sup>4</sup>	56.20 x10 <sup>4</sup>	62.10 x10 <sup>4</sup>	52.90x10 <sup>4</sup>	50.80x10 <sup>4</sup>	52.90x10 <sup>4</sup>	47.10x10 <sup>4</sup>	5.50 x 10 <sup>5</sup>
Soil sample 2	68.90 x10 <sup>4</sup>	67.70x10 <sup>4</sup>	69.80x10 <sup>4</sup>	61.00 x10 <sup>4</sup>	73.20 x10 <sup>4</sup>	71.10 x10 <sup>4</sup>	70.80x10 <sup>4</sup>	66.40x10 <sup>4</sup>	68.80 x10 <sup>4</sup>	72.30x10 <sup>4</sup>	6.90 x 10 <sup>5</sup>

**Table 2. Total heterotrophic fungal count**

Sample stations	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	MeanPlate count (cfu/ml) x10 <sup>2</sup>
Decomp W1	3.90 x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.40x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.10x10 <sup>2</sup>	3.80x10 <sup>2</sup>	3.70x10 <sup>2</sup>	5.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.60x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.50x10 <sup>2</sup>	3.50 x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.50x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.20 x10 <sup>2</sup>
Decomp W2	6.40x10 <sup>2</sup>	5.60x10 <sup>2</sup>	6.20x10 <sup>2</sup>	5.50x10 <sup>2</sup>	5.90x10 <sup>2</sup>	6.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	6.40x10 <sup>2</sup>	6.40x10 <sup>2</sup>	6.30x10 <sup>2</sup>	6.30x10 <sup>2</sup>	6.10 x10 <sup>2</sup>
Soil sample	4.90x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.10x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.70x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.80x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.80x10 <sup>2</sup>	5.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.50x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.70x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.50x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.60 x10 <sup>2</sup>
Soil sample 2	5.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.70x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.70x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.60x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.90x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.90x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.80x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.70x10 <sup>2</sup>	5.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.70x10 <sup>2</sup>	4.80 x10 <sup>2</sup>
Dump 1 Aerial											
Sample	0.20	0.30	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.40	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.10	0.20x10 <sup>2</sup>
Dump 2	0.30	0.40	0.20	0.50	0.10	0.20	0.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	0.30x10 <sup>2</sup>

**Table 3. Bacteriological and biochemical analysis**

Reactions/ Tests	Isolate 1	Isolate 2	Isolate 3	Isolate 4	Isolate 5	Isolate 6	Isolate 7
Growth on Mac	NLF	LF	NLF	NLF	LF	LF	LF
Gram Rxns	-ve short rods	-ve short rods	-ve long rods	+ve long rods with endospores	+ve cocci in clusters	-ve rods with capsules	-ve short rods
Motility	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
Methyl Red	+	+	-	-	+	-	+
Voges-Prosk	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Indole	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Citrate	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Urease	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
Catalase	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sugar fermentation acids							
Glucose	+	+			+	+	+
Lactose	-	+			+	+	+
Sucrose	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
H <sub>2</sub> S	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
Suspected Organism	<i>Salmonella spp</i>	<i>Citrobacter spp</i>	<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>	<i>Bacillus spp</i>	<i>Staphylococcus spp</i>	<i>Klebsiella spp</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>

**Table 4. Microorganisms isolated from dumpsite samples in order of frequency of isolation**

Isolates	Soil sample	Decomposing waste	Air at dump site
<i>Salmonella spp</i>	+	+	-
<i>Pseudomonas spp</i>	+	+	-
<i>E. coli</i>	-	+	-
<i>Staphylococcus spp</i>	-	+	-
<i>Klebsiella spp</i>	+	+	-
<i>Citrobacter spp</i>	-	+	-
<i>Bacillus spp</i>	+	-	-
<i>Mucor spp.</i>	+	+	+
<i>Fusarium spp.</i>	+	+	-
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	+	+	+
<i>Rhizopus spp</i>	+	+	-

The microorganisms isolated from the decomposing waste and soil samples in order of occurrence were shown (Table 4). These isolated organisms are in accordance with the work of other researchers from different waste dumpsites in Nigeria. 16 reported isolation of *Bacillus spp.*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella spp*, *Proteus spp*, *Pseudomonas spp*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus spp*, the fungal isolates were *Aspergillus niger*, *Fusarium spp*, *Mucor spp*, *Penicillium spp* and *Saccharomyces spp*. from dumpsites in Port Harcourt. [14] reported *Arthrobacter*, *Micrococcus*, *Proteus*, *Serratia* and *Streptococcus*, also *Rhizopus* in addition to the microorganisms isolated by [16].

Among the isolated microorganisms, *Salmonella* had the highest prevalence. The members of the *Salmonella* group are responsible for enteric fever and food poisoning. The high prevalence of *Salmonella sp* in Bwari could be as a result of the life style of the inhabitants expressed in the untidy manner by which they defecate, this correlates with the faecal-oral route transmission of *Salmonella spp*. Also, the nomadic livestock rearing practice adopted encourages the spread of this microorganism which may be present in their droppings, littered as they move from place to place. The nomadic herdsmen who have greater contact with these animals may also serve as reservoir hosts of the microorganism as they may be carriers of the microorganism. The presence of this microorganism in the examined sample poses a high threat to the surrounding population as their ground and surface water sources could be contaminated.

The Fungal species dwell in soil and aid in the decomposition of dead plants and animals. Through this, they are able to enrich the soil and contribute to the soil nutrient cycle. Their spores can survive in air, hence, their presence in the

aerial samples. Some of them are opportunistic agents of human mycosis. The control aerial sample which was sampled at a distance of 2km away from the dumpsites, did not yield any growth after incubation. This suggests that those living or working in close proximity to the sampled dump sites have a high risk of developing some respiratory tract infections and skin diseases. The fungal spores present in aerosols may be inhaled (thereby initiating respiratory tract infections) or they may drop on the skin (resulting in dermatitis). The results of this research supports the report of [17] that reported a high fungal concentration at the landfill site sampled. This they suggested could explain the significant number of dermatological problems such as unusual acne, warts, cysts and itching skin observed among the residents of that location. Some fungal diseases are air borne, while some can be transmitted by contact, therefore, the fungal infection of one person may be capable of putting a whole community at risk.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The isolation of these microorganisms from the examined sample further strengthen the fact that open dump systems should be replaced by sanitary landfills in most urban areas in Nigeria. This will help to reduce the spread of faecal microorganisms in the environment.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Adama O. Governing from above: Solid waste management in Nigeria's new capital city of Abuja. Stockholm University Press, Sweden; 2007.

2. Ogwueleka T. Municipal solid waste characteristics and management in Nigeria. *Iran Journal of Environmental Health, Science and Engineering*. 2009;6(3):173-180.
3. Adegbita M, Nwafor S, Afon A, Abegunde A, Bamise C. Assessment of dental waste management in a Nigerian tertiary hospital. *Journal of Waste Management and Research*. 2010;28(5):769-777.
4. Olanrewaju O, Ilemobade A. Waste to wealth: A case study of the Ondo State integrated wastes recycling and treatment project. *Nigerian, European Journal of Social Sciences*. 2009;8(1):7-16.
5. Tunesi S. LCA of local strategies for energy recovery from waste in England: Applied to a large municipal flow. *Journal of Waste Management*. 2010;31(3):561-571.
6. Stainer Y, Ingraham I, Whelis L, Painter R. *General microbiology*. 5<sup>th</sup> Edn. Macmillian, London; 1990.
7. Odeyemi AT, Faweya EB, Agunbiade OR, Ayeni SK. Bacteriological, mineral and radioactive contents of leachate samples from dumpsite of Ekiti State Government Destitute Centre in Ado-Ekiti. *Archives of Applied Science Research*. 2011;3(4):92-108.
8. Stetzenbach L, Buttner M, Cruz P. Detection and enumeration of airborne biocontaminants. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnology*. 2004;15:170-174.
9. Odeyemi AT. Antibigram status of bacterial isolates from air around dumpsite of Ekiti State Destitute Centre at Ilokun, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. *Journal of Microbiology Research*. 2012;2(2):12-18.
10. Buchanan RE, Gibbons NE. *Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology* 8<sup>th</sup> edition. The Williams and Wilkins Company, Baltimore; 1974.
11. Haley CD, Callaway CS. *Laboratory methods in medical mycology* 4<sup>th</sup> edn. HEW Publications, Atlanta Georgia USA; 1978.
12. Olds RJ. *A colour atlas of microbiology (Wolfe Medical Atlas II)* 5<sup>th</sup> edn. Wolfe Medical Publications Ltd. London; 1983.
13. Oviasogie F, Agonlahor E. The burden, antibiogram and pathogenicity of bacteria. *Journal of Medicine and Biomedical Research*. 2013;12(2):189-197.
14. Obire O, Nwaubeta O, Adué S. Microbial community of a waste dump site. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*. 2002;6(11):78-83.
15. Ebe TE, Mgbemena IC, Njoku-Tony RF, Onuoha EE, Anyanwu J, Edward KC. Bacteria associated with domestic solid waste dump in Egbu, Owerri North Local Government Area in Imo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Environment and Earth Science*. 2015;5(12):73-76.
16. Williams OJ, Hakam K. Microorganisms associated with dump sites in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Nigeria. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment*. 2016;8(2):9-12.
17. Sigsgaard T, Malmros P, Nersting L, Petersen C. Respiratory disorders and atopy in Danish refuse workers. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 1994;149(6):1407-1412.

© 2017 Eleanya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/22528>