



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

Quality Assessment and Nutraceutical Potential of a Traditionally Harvested Honeydew Honey from the Wild in Ghana

Darfour Bernard^{1*}, Asare Isaac Kwabena¹, Ofori Hayford² and Atter Amy²

¹Radiation Technology Centre, Biotechnology and Nuclear Agriculture Research Institute of the Ghana Atomic Energy Commission, Accra, Ghana.
²Food Chemistry and Food Microbiology Divisions, Food Research Institute of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Accra, Ghana.

Authors' contributions

This work was a collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI:10.9734/JALSI/2015/13808 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Mouhssen Lahlou, Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Rabat, Morocco. (2) Shahira M. Ezzat, Department of Pharmacognosy, Cairo University, Egypt. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Vincent Nyau, Department of Food Science and Technology, School of Agricultural Sciences, University of Zambia, Zambia. (2) Anonymous, Slovakia. (3) Anonymous, Slovakia. (4) Elsayed Alsaied Masoud Hussein, Department of applied medical sciences, Najran University, Saudi Arabia. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=878&id=40&aid=7796</u>

Original Research Article

Received 5th September 2014 Accepted 15th December 2014 Published 15th January 2015

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this research was to ascertain the quality of the honeydew honey harvested with wood smoke.

Methodology: The sample was stored in a container underlined with aluminium foil and then covered with a cork and kept for subsequent analyses. The sample was analyzed for its qualities like phytochemical properties, antiradical activity, physicochemical properties, antibacterial potency, heavy metal composition and pesticide residue content.

Results: The total phenolic and total flavonoid contents and antioxidant potential were comparatively higher than most honeys reported from other countries. The different concentrations of honey exhibited varying levels of antibacterial activity against the three different pathogenic indicator strains (*Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium* and *Staphylococcus aureus*). The inhibition of bactericidal activity was reduced with reduction in the honey concentration. The

physicochemical parameters and pesticide residue studied were within the acceptable limit. Some heavy metals were present in the honey, but were within the permissible safe limits of Codex Alimentarius Commission and FAO/WHO. However, Cadmium, Manganese and Nickel levels were above the safe limit.

Conclusion: The inhibition of bacterial growth indicates the honey's health benefits in fighting bacterial infections. Generally, the honeydew honey harvested with wood smoke honey exhibited medicinal/nutraceutical properties.

Keywords: Honeydew honey; phytochemical; antibacterial; antiradical; physicochemical; pesticide residue.

1. INTRODUCTION

Honeydew is particularly common as a secretion in the Hemipteran insects and is often the basis for trophobiosis [1]. The quality of honey is mainly determined by its sensorial, chemical, physical and microbiological characteristics. The methods employed for harvesting are crucial to the quality of the product. There are different methods of harvesting honey of which smoking is one. Smoking the bee hives before harvesting is essential, but the smoke to be used should be rich, dense and cool but not hot smoke or flames which will damage the bees. Honey generally, has been scientifically tested and confirmed to possess functional and biological properties such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, antiviral, antiulcerative activities, antilipid and anticancer properties [2-7] due to the presence of polyphenols (flavonoids and phenols).

Honey may contain metals of which some may be beneficial or injurious if consumed. Some essential metals are involved in numerous biochemical processes and adequate intake of certain essential metals relates to the prevention of deficiency diseases. The essential metals may become toxic when the metal intake is excessively elevated [8]. Heavy metals such as lead (Pb) are toxic even at trace levels [9].

Honey may also be contaminated with pesticide residues which may include acaricides, organic acids, insecticides, fungicides, herbicides and bactericides. Many of these contaminants are banned because of their health hazards such as carcinogenic effect on humans. Over 150 different pesticides have been found in colony samples [10]. DDT and its derivatives are one of the commonest pesticide residual contaminants. p, p'-DDT (p, p'-dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane) is a white crystalline solid, the technical mixture a white or cream coloured waxy solid or amorphous powder. When DDT is sprayed, any that fails to adhere to its target drifts away;

vaporization from treated fields can be detected for more than 6 months after application. With rare exceptions, concentrations of DDT in air in non-agricultural areas have been in the range <1-2.36 ng/m³. In agricultural communities, concentrations have ranged from 1 to 22 ng/m³ [11]. In Ghana, many people use honey as a substitute for sugar without any idea about the mode of harvesting and the subsequent effect of the harvesting process on the quality. The quality some honey harvested with modern of techniques had been reported. On the contrary, honeys from the wild harvested using wood smoke are widely used in Ghana without any prior quality data base. The aim of this research was therefore to ascertain the quality of honey harvested with wood smoke.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Preparation

The honey sample was bought fresh from the farm gate after harvesting from the wild in a village in Ghana. The sample was stored in a container lined with aluminium foil and then covered with a cork and kept for subsequent analyses.

2.2 Methanol and Aqueous Extractions for Phytochemical Analysis

The samples were freeze dried and 0.05 g weighed and extracted in 15 ml deionized water and methanol at a temperature of 60°C for 3 hours and the supernatant recovered for further analyses.

2.3 Phytochemical Analysis

2.3.1 Total phenolic

The total phenolic contents were measured by the Folin- Ciocalteau method using Gallic Acid as standard [12]. The sample (50 μ l) plus 3ml of distilled water, 250 μ l of Folin- Ciocalteau (fc

1/10) and 750 μ I 20% of Na₂CO₃. The mixture was vortexed to mix, incubated for 30 min in the dark and the absorbance measured at 760 nm.

2.3.2 Total flavonoid

The aluminium chloride colorimetric assay method [13] was employed for the total flavonoid content. Quercetin was used as standard. Total flavonoid content was determined as microgram (μ g) Quercetin equivalent using the calibration linear regression equation. 2800 µl distilled water, 1500 µl ethanol, 500 µl samples, 100 µl potassium acetate (1M) and 100 µl of 10% aluminium chloride were mixed and incubated in the dark for 30 min. The absorbance was read at 415 nm.

2.3.3 Antiradical scavenging activity

The DPPH free radical scavenging activity was determined using 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical. 200 μ l of extracts was added to 3800 μ l of 0.004% DPPH in an ethanol solution. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature in the dark, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm. Radical scavenging was calculated as follows; 1% = [(Abs₀ –Abs₁)/ Abs₀], where Abs₀ was the absorbance of 0.004% DPPH without analyte and Abs₁ the absorbance of 0.004% DPPH plus the test compound.

2.4 Antibacterial Activity

The test organisms used in this research consisted of two Gram-negative and one Grampositive isolates (Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium and Staphylococcus aureus) obtained from the Food and Microbiology laboratory of the Radiation Technology Centre of the Ghana Atomic Energy Commission. The test organisms were cultured on agar slants and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C. Subcultures were made at two-week intervals. The inhibitory potential of honey was investigated using the agar well diffusion method as described by [14,15]. Nutrient agar was poured into Petri dishes and allowed to solidify and dry for 1-2 days. Circular wells were made in the agar using sterile cork borers. A volume of 0.1 ml of the test inoculum was transferred into wells and left to diffuse into the agar for approximately 4-5 h. The wells were overlaid with about 10 ml of soft Nutrient agar (0.7% agar) containing the indicator strains. The indicator lawns were prepared by adding 0.25 m1 of 10⁻¹ dilution of an overnight culture of the indicator organism to 10 ml of Nutrient agar. The plates were incubated at 37°C

for 24 hours and the diametre of the zone of inhibitions were measured.

2.5 Physicochemical Analysis

2.5.1 Ph determination

The pH was determined by measuring out 10 ml of the sample into a clean beaker and then measured directly with a pH meter (Radiometer PHM 92 Radiometer Analytical A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) after calibration using standard buffers.

2.5.2 Determination of total titratable acidity (TTA)

The TTA was determined by taking 25 ml of the sample (diluted) which was titrated against 0.1N NaOH using 0.25 ml phenolphthalein as an indicator. The relevant amount of lactic acid was determined using the mathematical formula [15]:

Lactic acid (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Titre Value x Normality x 9}}{\text{Volume of Sample}}$$

2.5.3 Determination of moisture

The moisture content of the sample was determined by measuring 5 g of the sample into a pre-weighed aluminium drying dish. The sample was dried to a constant weight in an oven at 105°C for 4 hours under vacuum [16].

2.5.4 Determination of total solids

The percentage total solids were determined [16] using the equation:

Total Solids (%) = 100 – Moisture content.

2.5.5 Determination of total soluble solids (TSS)

TSS was determined using the hand refractometer. Few drops of the sample were mounted on the lip of the refractometer and the level of clearness was taken and recorded as the degree brix for the sugar concentration.

2.6 Elemental Analysis Using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS)

The honey sample was weighed (0.5 g) into a labelled 100 mL polytetrafluoroethylene Teflon bombs. 6 mL of conc. HNO_3 (65 %) and 1 mL of H_2O_2 (30%) was added to the samples in a fume chamber. The samples were then loaded on a microwave carousel. The vessel caps were

secured tightly. The complete assembly was microwave-irradiated for 20 min in a milestone microwave laboratory station ETHOS 900 D model using the following parameters; 250 W for 02 min, 0 W for 02 min, 250 W for 06 min, 400 W for 05 min, 600 W for 05 min with 100 pressure, 400°C and 500°C. Five minutes was allowed for venting (Milestone Cook Book, 1996). After digestion, the Teflon bombs mounted on the microwave carousel were cooled in a water bath to reduce internal pressure and allow volatilized materials to re-solubilize. The digest was made up to 20 mL with distilled water and assayed for the presence of iron, copper, zinc, manganese, cadmium, magnesium, selenium in an acetyleneair flame. Reference standards for the elements of interest, blanks and repeats of the samples were digested the same way as the actual samples. These served as internal positive controls. The digested samples were then aspirated using Varian AA240FS fast sequential Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. The instrument was initially calibrated before the reading of any element with a standard solution of the element. A linearity of the calibration curve was always checked before the samples were aspirated. Calculation was obtained as stated below:

Final concentration (ppm) =

(Concentration × nominal volume) Weight of sample in grams

Concentration recorded = given on the monitor attached to the instrument

Nominal volume = final volume after reagent and water were added

Weight of sample = 0.5.

2.7 Pesticide Residue Analysis

Pesticide residues in the honey sample were determined [17] with modifications. Honey (20 g) was added to 20 ml of water and 100 ml of acetonitrile was added. The mixture was homogenized and centrifuged at 2,500 rpm for 5 min. The upper layer was taken and 10 g of NaCl added and shaken for 3 min. it was allowed to stand and the separating water layer excluded. The acetonitrile laver was taken and concentrated. To eliminate possible water, 20 ml of ethyl acetate and anhydrous Na₂SO₂ was added, extracted with sonication, filtered and concentrated. The residue was dissolved to the concentration of 2.5 g of sample per ml with 1:1 acetone: n-hexane to obtain the sample extract. The sample extract was loaded onto PSA column

[Bond Elut PSA (500 mg)]. The column was eluted with 18 ml of 1:1 acetone: n-hexane to collect the eluate. The eluate was concentrated and the residue dissolved with 1 ml of 1:1 acetone: n-hexane. The test solution was then taken through GC analysis. The residue was analyzed by Shimadzu gas chromatograph GC-2010 equipped with ⁶³Ni electron capture detector that allowed the detection of contaminants even at trace level concentrations from the matrix to which other detector do not respond. The GC conditions and the detector response were adjusted so as to match the relative retention times and response.

The conditions used for the analysis were: capillary column coated with ZB-5ms (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm film thickness). Carrier gas and make-up gas was nitrogen at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min and 29 mL/min, respectively. The injector and detector temperatures were set at 280°C and 300°C respectively. The oven temperature was programmed as follows: 60°C held for 1 min. ramp at 30°C min-1 to 180°C. held for 3 min, ramp at 3°C min-1 to 220°C, held for 3 min, ramp at 10°C min-1 to 300°C. The injection volume of the GC was 1.0 µL. The residue detected by the GC analysis was further confirmed by the analysis of the extract on two other columns of different polarities. The first column was coated with ZB-1 (methylpolysiloxane) connected to ECD and the second column was coated with ZB-17 (50% phenyl, methyl polysiloxane) and ECD was also used as detector. The conditions used for these columns were the same.

2.8 Statistical Analysis

Statgraphics centurion (version 16) statistical tool was used for the analysis of variance and mean separations. Data obtained for the phytochemical, antibacterial and physicochemical analysis were subjected to oneway ANOVA. Values were represented as mean ± S.D of triplicate data.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 The Phytochemical and Free Radical Scavenging Activity of the Honey

There were no significant differences between the solvent used for the extraction in terms of total phenolic content of the honey (Table 1). The total phenolic content of the studied honey ranged from 79.40-84.50 mg GAE/100 g. The total phenolic content of the studied honey was relatively high compared to other reports made on the total phenolic content of honey from different countries. The higher total phenolic content could be attributed to the plant source from which the pollen grains were taken from for the production of the honey. The plant source might have possessed large deposit of polyphenols in them. It has been reported that the total phenolic content of fifty sunflower honey from Turkey studied ranged from 6.89-23.20 mg GAE/100 g [18]. This implies that the total phenolic content of the honey under study from Ghana is far higher than that from Turkey unlike those reported from India, Yemen and Romania. Indian honeys had been reported [19] to have total phenolic content of 47-98 mg GAE/100 g, Yemeni honey [20] had been reported to range from 56.32-246.21 mg/100 g and Romanian honeydew honeys had total phenolic content ranging from 23.0-125.0 mg/100 g [21]. Research has found that honeys which are dark in colour have a higher amount of total phenolic compounds [6]. Although the colour of the studied honey was not determined, it looked very dark which could be due to the high content of the phenolics or the smoked used in harvesting. Phenolics or polyphenols are one of the most important classes of compounds found in honey. The total concentration of phenols in honey is highly dependent on its plant source. The determination of total phenolic content of honey is a good parameter for the assessment of its quality and possible therapeutic potential.

There was significantly higher amount of total flavonoid in the water extract than the methanol extract (Table 1). The amount of total flavonoid was higher than that of the phenolics which ranged from 99.7-119.7 mg/kg. The Ghanaian honey studied had total flavonoid content which were higher than some reported from other countries. The higher total flavonoid content could also be attributed to the plant source from which the pollen grains were taken from for the production of the honey. The plant source might have possessed large deposit of polyphenols in them. Some Algerian honeys had been reported to have total flavonoid content between 27.07-71.78 mg/kg [22], also report made on Turkish honey had total flavonoid content ranged from 4.80 to 22.80 mg/kg [23] and also report on Malaysian honeys indicated total flavonoid content ranging from 11.52-25.31 mg/kg [24]. This is an indication that the studied Ghanaian honey had comparatively higher content of total flavonoid. The high flavonoid content could be a

contribution from the wood smoke which also contains some percentage of flavonoids used for the harvesting. Flavonoids are low molecular weight phenolic compounds that are vital components for the aroma and antioxidant properties of honey.

The antioxidant potential of honey has been shown to be directly associated with its phenolic and flavonoid contents [25]. The percentage free scavenging activity of the honey using the DPPH was significantly higher in the methanol extract than in the water extract, thus, 36.3 and 49.3% respectively (Table 1). The antioxidant activity of natural honeys depends largely on their chemical composition, such as phenolics, flavonoids, enzymes, organic acids, amino acids, Maillard reaction products, ascorbic acid, carotenoids, as well as their origins [26,27]. Although the scavenging activity of the honey (36.3-49.3%) was below 50%, this honey still has antioxidant potential greater than honey from most part of the world. The highest percentage of inhibition of the Algerian honey was 44.57% [22] which was lower than the Ghanaian one. The sunflower honey from Turkey had free scavenging activity of 24.647-65.437% [18], the Indian honeys had free radical scavenging activity of 44-71% [19] and pine honey from Turkey recorded 25.65-50.78% [28].

There have been earlier reports about a strong relationship between antiradical capacity and the total phenolic content of the honey [28-31,25]. The relatively high antiradical activity of this honey could be as a result of the presence of higher amounts of total phenolic and flavonoids which were probably might have been obtained from plant sources with higher phytochemicals.

3.2 Antibacterial Activity of the Honey

The different concentrations of honey exhibited varving levels of antibacterial activity against the three different pathogenic indicator strains as shown by the different zones of inhibition (Table 2). The antibacterial potentials of the different concentrations of the honey against the three increased pathogens with increasing concentrations. Pure honey contains alkaloids, glycosides, flavonoids and reducing compounds. The antibacterial properties of honey depend on the release of low lives of hydrogen peroxide and the possession of additional phytochemical antibacterial compounds. The antibacterial potency of honey is also due to its osmotic effect as a result of the high sugar content which inhibit

the bacterial growth [32-34]. The naturally low pH levels obtained, thus, 3.51, 3.59 and 3.85 for the 50%, 75% and 100% honey concentrations respectively were similar to earlier reports on honey [35]. Values between 3.2 and 4.5 as the pH of honey [36,37] had also been reported. The low pH levels could have contributed to the antibacterial potency of the honey against bacteria pathogens [38]. There was antibacterial activity against all the enteric pathogens used. It was clear that the zone of inhibition reduced with dilution of the honey. Honey at 100% concentration produced the maximum activity (13.2 mm) against Staphylococcus aureus whilst the minimum activity was exhibited by honey at 50% concentration (2.0 mm) against Escherichia coli. The inhibition of bactericidal activity was reduced with reduction in the honey concentration as obtained in other similar studies. It has been reported that the antibacterial analysis of honey at 100% concentration revealed a significant activity against Escherichia coli (25 mm) and Staphylococcus aureus (20 mm) [38] which were higher than the results obtained in this study. The bactericidal activity slightly reduced with reduction in the honey concentrations (75% and 50%). It has also been reported that honey inhibited the growth of S. aureus even at 50% dilution [39]. Honey diluted to concentrations from 75 to 1% (w/v) of full-strength honey showed total antibacterial activity [40]. Similar results have been obtained in other studies on the antibacterial activity of honey against enteric pathogens [36,41-43].

3.3 Physicochemical Properties of the Honey

The pH value of the honey sample was within the acidic range (Table 3) as also reported by [44]. The determination of pH is important in honey in relation to darkening. As the pH value decreases the darkening of honey also increases. They also prevent the honey samples from constant infection by various species of micro-organism and thus help to ensure constant shelf-life. There has been earlier reports of pH values ranging from 0.36 to 3.4 [37] and this study agreed with that. Acidic pH of honey is desirable since acidification has been shown to promote healing by causing oxygen release from hemoglobin [45]. Moisture is one of the most important characteristics of honey, having profound influence on its keeping quality and granulation. The moisture content of the honey sample was 21.01% (Table 3) which conforms to that of the

Codex Alimentarius. Honey had been stated not to have moisture content more than 21 percent which causes rapid aerobic growth of honeyfermenting yeasts occurs [46]. Varying reports have been made by researchers on the moisture contents of honey from different parts of the world [47-53].

Total solid is a measure of dissolved solids in the honey samples. A reduction or absence of the total solids in honey (Table 3) is an indicator that further processing has been done on the honey sample. The total solids results obtained are within the acceptable limit [54].

The total soluble solids are a measure of the sugar content present in the honey. The total soluble solids was generally, more than 80% (Table 3) and considered to be of high grade and highly stable upon storage. Similar report was made on honey from Algeria [55]. Thus, the honey investigated in this study is considered to be of high grade and stable with regard to fermentation upon storage. Similar report has been made earlier on some honey samples [53].

The Total Titratable Acidity (TTA) of the honey sample was expressed as percentage lactic acid (Table 3).

3.4 Heavy Metal Content of the Honey

Bee honey can be a good source of major and trace elements needed by humans, where it contains metals up to 0.17%. Metals such as Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn are essential for humans, and they may play an important role in a number of biochemical processes [56,57]. Some of them are present at the trace level and may become toxic if they exceed safe limits [58].

Iron (Fe) content was the highest followed by Nickel and manganese (Table 4). Even though Fe is essential the level determined in the honey far exceeds the 15 mg/kg limit set by WHO [59].

Nickel (Ni) content was very high which is worrying because large quantity of Ni in the body poses a health threat. The Nickel level in the honey is so far higher compared to other foods documented. Nickel levels in food are generally in the range 0.01-0.1 mg/kg, but there are large variations [60-63]. Markedly higher levels had been reported in beans, seeds, nuts and wheat bran [64,61] and in cacao [64]. The high content of nickel in the honey could be from the plant sources from which the pollen were taken from or the contamination of the air around the area of honey production. In that case the soil, water and air sources to the plant and bee could be a contribution. The smoke used in the harvesting could cause an increase in the level of the Nickel since wood smoke contains some substantial amount of Nickel.

Cadmium is easily taken up from the environment by plants which could pass through the food chain into the process of honey production by bees and also wood smoke contains some amount of Cadmium. These two reasons could be the reason for the increase content of Cadmium in the honey. Therefore the intake of this honey with the high cadmium content is dangerous to human health and therefore moderate amount of it should be taken in as food. Cadmium (Cd) content in the honey was 0.56 mg/kg which is above the 0.2 mg/kg limit set by CODEX (Table 5). Mercury and lead are known to be toxic to humans even at trace levels. Pb level determined was below detection limit which is below the 0.3 mg/kg set by WHO. The in-take of these heavy metals (Cd, Zn, Cu) in large quantities could pose health risk [65,66].

3.5 Pesticide Residual Content of the Honey

General pesticide contaminants were run for the honey sample. Only p, p'-DDT was found to be present at insignificantly low level of 1.575 ppb.

Table 1. Total Phenolic, total flavonoid and free radical scavenging activity of honey

Phytochemicals/Antioxidant properties	Water	Methanol
Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW)	79.4±2.80 ^a	84.5±1.90 ^a
Total flavonoid content (mg GAE/kg DW)	119.7±2.10 ^a	99.7±1.0 ^b
Free scavenging Activity (%)	36.3±0.45 ^b	49.3±0.60 ^a

Means \pm standard deviations in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05)

Table 2. Antibacterial activity between different concentrations of honey samples and pathogenic indicator strains

Concentration (%)	Diameter of clear zone of inhibition in mm					
	Escherichia coli	Staphylococcus aureus	Salmonella typhimurium			
50.00	2.05±0.05 ^c	6.00±1.00 ^b	3.55±0.45 ^b			
75.00	7.20±2.20 ^b	10.00±2.00 ^{ab}	5.00±0.50 ^b			
100.00	11.00±2.00 ^a	13.20±2.80 ^a	9.45±1.45 ^a			

Means \pm standard deviations in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05)

Table 3. Physicochemical properties of the honey

рН	Moisture (%)	Total solids (%)	olids (%) Totalsoluble solids Total titrat (% Brix) (Lactic ac		
3.86±0.005	21.01±0.19	78.99±0.19	10.67±0.47	0.39±0.02	
Moant standard doviation					

Mean±standard deviation

Table 4. Heavy metal content of honey

Element	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn	Pb	Cd	Ni	As	Hg
Quantity (mg/kg dry weight)	0.12	39.20	6.80	0.04	ND	0.52	19.60	ND	ND
ND: Not I	Detected:	the limit of	of AAS o	detectior	1 is < 0	.0001			

Table 5. Safe values of some trace elements by Codex Alimentarius Commission

Element	Maximum allowable limits of elements in fruits and vegetables (mg/kg dry weight)					
Cd	0.2					
Cu	40					
Zn	60					

Bernard et al.; JALSI, 2(2): 71-82, 2015; Article no.JALSI.2015.008

The presence of the p, p'-DDT in the honey could be as a result of the transfer of pollination and/or transfer of nectar from some contaminated plant source to the honey production site. Since the honey is from a wild source the contaminant could have been from the direct application of the chemical pesticides. There has been report of no measurable residues of insecticides in honey [67]. Several investigations conducted on different types of honey and through various analytical methods [68-71] showed, instead, the presence of pyrethrins and pesticides in honey from India [72] and Spain [73] respectively. In another study on honey from Spain and Portugal, residues of 42 different pesticides were examined [74,75] and most of the compounds found were organo chlorines, but most of them were below 0.5 mg/kg. The honey samples studied is safe for consumption in respect to chemical pesticide residue contamination and therefore could be used without fear as food or food supplement.

4. CONCLUSION

The high levels of the total phenolic and total flavonoid contents and higher percentage antiradical activity suggested that the sample has antioxidant potentials. The observed inhibition of the bacterial growth indicates the honey's health benefits in fighting bacterial infections in the body. The physicochemical parameters and pesticide residue studied were within the acceptable limit and therefore the sample has been established to be very safe for consumption. Although there are some heavy metals present in the honey most were within the permissible limits of Codex Alimentarius Commission and FAO/WHO safe limit. Cadmium, Manganese and Nickel levels were above the safe limit and therefore the honey needs to be screened to reduce their level before using it in medicinal and food formulations. Generally, the honeydew honey harvested with exhibited woodsmoke has medicinal/ nutraceutical properties.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the contributions made by the technicians and technologists in the microbiology laboratory of the Food Research Institute and analytical and dosimetry laboratories of the Biotechnology and Nuclear Agriculture Research Institute.

CONSENT

Not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Delabie, JHC. Trophobiosis Between *Formicidae* and *Hemiptera* (*Sternorrhyncha* and *Auchenorrhyncha*): an Overview. Neotropical Entomology. 2001;30(4):501-516.
- Estevinho L, Pereira AP, Moreira L, Dias LG. Antioxidant and antimicrobial effects of phenolic compounds extracts of Northeast Portugal honey. Food Chemistry Toxicology. 2008;46:3774-3779.
- Irish J, Carter DA, Blair SE, Heard TA. Antibacterial activity of honey from the Australian stingless bee Trigona carbonaria. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents. 2008;32:89-90.
- 4. Temaru E, Shimura S, Amano K, Karasawa T. Antibacterial activity of honey from stingless honeybees (*Hymenoptera; Apidae; Meliponinae*). Poland Journal Microbiology. 2007;56:281-285.
- Swellam T, Miyanaga N, Onozawa M, Hattori K, Kawai K, Shimazui T, Akaza H. Antineoplastic activity of honey in an experimental bladder cancer implantation model: In vivo and in vitro studies. International Journal of Urology. 2003;10:213-219.
- Gheld of N, Engeseth NJ. Antioxidant activity of honeys from various floral sources based on the determination of oxygen radical absorbance capacity and inhibition of in-vitro lipoprotein oxidation in human serum samples. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry. 2002;50:3050-3055.
- Wang XH, Andrae L, Engeseth NJ. Antimutagenic effect of various honeys and sugars against Trp-p-1. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry. 2002; 50:6923-6928.
- Gopalani M, Shahare M, Ramteke DS, Wate SR. Heavy metal content of potato chips and biscuits from Nagpur City, India.

Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2007;79:384-387.

- Dobaradaren S, Kaddafi K, Nazmara S, Ghaedi H. Heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Ni, and Pb) content in fish species of Persian Gulf in Bushehr Port, Iran. Asian Journal of Biotechnology. 2010;32:6191-6193.
- Mullin CA, Frazier M, Frazier JL. High levels of miticides and agrochemicals in North American apiaries: Implications for honey bee health. PloS ONE. 2010;5(3):e9754.
- 11. IPCS. DDT and its derivatives. Geneva, World Health Organization, International Programme on Chemical Safety (Environment Health Criteria 9); 1979.
- Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventos RM, Lester P. Analysis of total phenols 317 and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of Folin Ciocalteau reagent. Int. 318 Methods in Enzymology (ed.). Academic Press. 1999;152-178.
- Zhishen J, Mengcheng T, Jianming W. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. Food Chemistry. 1999;64:555-559.
- Schillinger U, Lucke FK. Antibacterial activity of Lactobacillus sake isolated from meat. Applied Environmental Microbial. 1989;55:1901-1906.
- 15. Olsen A, Halm M, Jakobsen M. The antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria from fermented maize (Kenkey) and their interactions during fermentation. Journal of Applied Bacteriology. 1995;79:506-512.
- AOAC. Official methods of analysis of the Association of Analytical. 17thEdn. Washington D.C., USA; 2000.
- Analytical Methods for Pesticide Residues in Foodstuffs. Inspectorate for Health Protection 6th Ed. The Hague, Netherlands; 2006.
- Sari E, Ayyildiz N. Biological activities and some physicochemical properties of sunflower honeys collected from the Thrace region of Turkey. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2012;15(23):1102-1110.
- Saxena S, Gautam S, Sharma A. Physical, biochemical and antioxidant properties of some Indian honeys. Food Chemistry. 2010;118:391-397.

- Al-Mamary M, Al-Meeri A, Al-Habori M. Antioxidant activities and total phenolics of different types of honey. Nutrition Research. 2002;22:1041-1047.
- Al ML, Daniel D, Moise A, Bobis O, Laslo L, Bogdanov S. Physicochemical and bioactive properties of different floral origin honeys from Romania. Food Chemistry. 2009;112:863-867.
- Khalil MI, Moniruzzaman M, Boukraa L, Benhanifia M, Islam AA, Islam NM, Sulaiman SA, Gan HS. Physicochemical and Antioxidant Properties of Algerian Honey. Molecules. 2012;17:11199-11215.
- 23. Özkök A, D'arcy B, Sorkun K. Total phenolic acid and total flavonoid content of Turkish pine honeydew honey. Journal of Api Product and Api Medical Science. 2010;2:65-71.
- 24. Khalil M, Alam N, Moniruzzaman M, Sulaiman S, Gan S. Phenolic acid composition and antioxidant properties of malaysian honeys. Journal of Food Science. 2011;76:21-928.
- 25. Beretta G, Granata P, Ferrero M, Orioli M, Facino RM. Standardization of antioxidant Properties of honey by a combination of spectrophotometric/fluorimetric assays and chemometrics. Analytica Chimica Acta. 2005;533:185-191.
- 26. Meda A, Lamien CE, Romito M, Millogo J, Nacoulma OG. Determination of the total phenolic, flavonoid and proline contents in Burkina Fasan honey, as well as their radical scavenging activiity. Food Chemistry. 2005;91:571-577.
- 27. Socha R, Juszczak L, Pietrzyk S, Fortuna T. Antioxidant activity and phenolic composition of herb honeys. Food Chemistry. 2009;113:568-574.
- Akbulut M, Ozcan MM, Coklar H. Evaluation of antioxidant activity, phenolic, mineral contents and some physicochemical properties of several pine honeys collected from Western Anatolia. International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition. 2009;60:577-589.
- Dobrel, Gâdei G, Patrascu L, Elisei AM, Segal R. The antioxidant activity of selected Romanian honeys. The Annals of the University Dunarea de Jos of Galati. 2010;34:67-73.
- Baltrusaityte V, Venskutonis B, Ceksteryte V. Radical scavenging activity of different

floral origin honey and beebread phenolic extracts. Food Chemistry. 2007;101:502-514.

- Buratti S, Benedetti S, Cosio MS. Evaluation of the antioxidant power of honey, propolis and royal jelly by amperometric flow injection analysis. Talanta. 2007;71:1387-1392.
- 32. Dumronglert E. A Follow-up Study of Chronic Wound Healing Dressing with Pure Natural Honey. Journal of Natural Research Council Thailand. 1983;15:39-66.
- 33. Kačániová M, Vukovic N, Bobková A, Fikselová M, Rovná K, Haščík P, Čuboň J, Hleba L, Bobko M. Antimicrobial and antiradical activity of Slovakian honeydew honey samples. The Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences. 2011;1(3):354-36.
- Wahdan H. Causes of the antimicrobial activity of honey. In Infection. 1998;26(1):26-31.
- Sulaiman A, Milton W, Khalid A. Antibacterial potential of honey from different origins: A comparsion with manuka honey. Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences. 2012;1(5):1328-1338.
- Molan PC. The Antibacterial Activity of Honey. 1. The Nature of the Antibacterial activity. Bee World. 1992;73:5-28.
- Cooper RA, Molan PC, Harding KG. The sensitivity to honey of Gram positive cocci of clinical significance isolated from wounds. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2002;93:857-863.
- Kwakman P, Zaat S. Antibacterial components of honey. In IUBMB Life. 2012;64(1):48-55.
- Oyeleke SB, Dauda BEN, Jimoh T, Musa SO. Nutritional Analysis and Antibacterial Effect of Honey on Bacterial Wound Pathogens. Journal of Applied Science Research. 2010;6(11):1561-1565.
- 40. Basualdo C, Sgroy V, Finola MS, Marioli JM. Comparison of the antibacterial activity of honey from different provenances against bacteria usually isolated from skin wounds. Veterinary Microbiology. 2007; 124: 375-381.
- 41. Iurlina MO, Fritz R. Characterization of microorganisms in Argentinean honeys from different sources. International

Journal of Food Microbiology. 2005; 105:297-304.

- 42. Nzeako BC, Hamdi J. Antimicrobial potential of Honeyon some microbial isolates. SQU Medical Sciences. 2000; 2:75-79.
- Kingsley A. The use of Honey in treatment of infected wounds. Case studies. BJ of Nursing. Supplements. 2001;10(22):13-20.
- Adebiyi FM, Akpam I, Obiajunwa ET, Olaniyi HB. Chemical physical characterization of Nigeria Honey. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2004;3:278-281.
- 45. O'Grady FW, Lambert HP, Finch RG, Greenwood D. Antibiotic and chemotherapy. 7thed. New York: Churchill living stone; 2009.
- Codex Alimentarius. Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme, Codex Alimentarius Commission, FAO, Rome, 2nd ed. 1994;11:22.
- Vit P, Bogdanov S, Kilchenmann V. Composition of Venezuelan honeys from stingless bees (*Apidae*: *Meliponinae*) and *Apismellifera* L. Apidologie. 1994;25:278-288.
- Tilde AC, Payawal PC. Commercial honey in the Phillippines II. Physical and chemical properties. Apicultural Abstract. 1995;46(2):125.
- Gopalan C, Sastri RBV, Balasubramaniam SC. Nutritive value of Indian foods. National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research, Hyderabad India. 1996;42:1474-1477.
- 50. Kalpana TP, Ramanujan CGK. Sugarcane–its significance. Apicultural Abstract. 1995;48(2):185.
- 51. Ihtishamulhaq, Khan R. Effect of geographical location on the physicchemical parameters of honey. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 1998;14(5):483-485.
- 52. Kumari A. The quantitative and qualitative parameters associated with the honey based fruit nectar. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to CSK, Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur, India. 1998;38.
- Gulati R, Kumari B. Chemical composition of unifloral, stored and commercial Apismellifera L. honeys. Journal Food Science Technology. 2005;42(6):492-495.

- 54. Lawal RA, Lawal AK, Adekalu JB. Physicochemical studies on adulteration of Honey in Nigeria. Pakistan journal of Biological Sciences. 2009;12:1080-1084.
- 55. Salim H, Zerrouk I, Biagio G, Elena N, Gabriele F, Larbi A. Quality Evaluation of Some Honey from the Central Region of Algeria. Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2011;4(4):243–248.
- 56. Falco G, Gomez-Catalan C, Llobet JM, Domingo JL. Contribution of medicinal plants to the dietary intake of various toxic elements in Catalonia, Spain. Trace Element Electrolyte. 2003;20:120-124.
- Garcia JCR, Garcia JB, Latorre CH, Martin 57. SG, Crecent RMP. Comparison of palladium-magnesium nitrate and ammonium dihydrogen phosphate modifiers for lead determination in honey by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry. Food Chemistry. 2005;91:435-439.
- Codex Alimentaris Commission. Standard for Honey, Ref. no. CL 1993/14, SH, Codex Alimentarius Commission. F.A.O./W.H.O., Rome; 1993.
- World Health Organaiztion. Evaluation of Certain Foods Additives and Contaminants (Tweenty-Six Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). WHO Technical Report series, No. 683 Geneva; 1982.
- 60. Booth J. Nickel in the diet and its role in allergic dermatitis. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics. 1990;3:233-243.
- 61. Jorhem L, Sundström B. Levels of lead, cadmium, zinc, copper, nickel, chromium, manganese and cobalt in foods on the Swedish market 1983-1990. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 1993; 6:223-241.
- 62. Dabeka RW, McKenzie AD. Survey of lead, cadmium, fluoride, nickel, and cobalt in food composites and estimation of dietary intakes of these elements by Canadians in 1986-1988. Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists International. 1995;78:897-909.
- Fødevaredirektoratet. Overvågnings system for levnedsmidler 1993-1997. Søborg, Ministeriet for Fødevarer, Landbrugog Fiskeri; 2000.

- 64. Smart GA, Sherlock JC. Nickel in foods and the diet. Food Additives and Contaminants. 1987;4:61-71.
- 65. Seeley RR, Stephens TD, Tate P. Anatomny and Physiology McGraw-Hill, New York. 1998;1097.
- 66. Fox SI. Human Physiology. McGraw-Hill, New York. 199;731.
- 67. Bogdanov S. Contaminants of bee products. Apidologie. 2006;38(1):1-18.
- Buldini PL, Cavalli S, Mevoli A, Lal Sharma J. Ion chromatographic and voltammetric determination of heavy and transition metals in honey. Food Chemistry. 2001;73:487-495.
- 69. Zhu SH, Wu HL, Li BR, Xia AL, Han QH, Zhang Y, Yu RQ. Determination of pesticides in honey using excitation– emission matrix fluorescence coupled with second-order calibration and second-order standard addition methods. Analytica Chimica Acta. 2008;619:165-172.
- 70. Zhang J, Gao H, Peng B, Li S, Zhou Z. Comparison of the performance of conventional, temperature-controlled, and ultrasound-assisted ionic liquid dispersive liquid–liquid micro extraction combined with high-performance liquid chromatography in analyzing pyrethroid pesticides in honey samples. Journal of Chromatography A. 2011;1218:6621-6629.
- Blasco C, Vazquez-Roig P, Onghena M, Masia A, Picó Y. Analysis of insecticides in honey by liquid chromatography–ion trapmass spectrometry: Comparison of different extraction procedures. Journal of Chromatography A. 2011;1218:4892-4901.
- 72. Mukherjee I. Determination of Pesticide Residues in Honey Samples. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2009;83:818-821.
- 73. Herrera A, Perez-Arquillue C, Conchello P, Bayarri S, Lazaro R, Yagu C, Arino A. Determination of pesticides and PCBs in honey by solid-phase extraction cleanup followed by gas chromatography with electron-capture and nitrogen-phosphorus detection. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry. 2005;381:695-701.
- Blasco C, Fernandez M, Pena A, Lino C, Silveira MI, Font G, Pico Y. Assessment of pesticide residues in honey samples from

Portugal and Spain. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry. 2003;51:8132-8138.

 Blasco C, Fernandez M, Pico Y, Font G. Comparison of solid-phase microextraction and stir barsorptive extraction for determining six organophosphorus insecticides in honey by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A. 2004; 1030:77-85.

© 2015 Bernard et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=878&id=40&aid=7796