



# **Comparative Efficacy of Insecticides and their Combinations against Okra Shoot and Fruit Borer, *Earias vitella* (Fabricius)**

**Aayushi Sharma <sup>a\*</sup> and Ashwani Kumar <sup>a</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Entomology, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj - 211007 (U.P.), India.*

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2024/v30i62055

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116822>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 05/03/2024**

**Accepted: 06/05/2024**

**Published: 10/05/2024**

## **ABSTRACT**

The research was conducted on okra crop to check the efficacy of some insecticides and their combinations against okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fab.). The study was carried out during *kharif* 2023 at Central Research Farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.) with eight treatments including untreated check which were replicated thrice. All the treatments were found to be superior over untreated control, neem oil 3%, spinosad 45 SC, cypermethrin 25 EC, profenophos 50 EC, spinosad 45SC + neem oil 3%, cypermethrin 25EC + neem oil 3%, profenofos 50 EC + cypermethrin 25 EC were tested to compare the efficacy against *Earias vitella* and their influences on yield of okra. Here the best and the most economic treatment was profenofos 50 EC + cypermethrin 25 EC followed by cypermethrin 25EC + neem oil 3%, spinosad 45SC + neem oil 3%, spinosad 45 SC, cypermethrin 25 EC, profenophos 50

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: sharmaaayushi1028@gmail.com;

EC and the least effective treatment was neem oil 3%. The highest yield among the treatments was noticed in profenofos 50 EC + cypermethrin 25 EC (140quintal/hac.) and the lowest in neem oil 3% (75quintal/hac.) with cost benefit ratio 1:4.7 and 1:2.0, respectively

**Keywords:** Okra shoot; fruit borer; *Earias vitelli*; insecticides; chemicals; combinations; management.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

"An important vegetable crop, okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) is a member of the Malvaceae family" [1]. "Cultivated in tropical, subtropical and mild temperate parts of the world. Okra locally known as Bhendi and Lady's finger worldwide. It is generally a self-pollinating crop belonging to the Malvaceae" [2]. "Okra is referred to as the "Vegetable's Queen." It is prized for the soft green fruits it bears. It can be prepared in a number of ways and is a key ingredient in a variety of recipes" [3]. "The root and stems are used for clarification of sugarcane juice before it is converted into jiggery and brown sugar. Okra is good source of vitamin A, B and C also rich in calcium, phosphorus, potassium, protein, carbohydrates, fats, minerals, iron and iodine" [4]. "It has good nutritional value particularly the high content of vitamin C (13mg/100g), fat (0.2g/100g), carbohydrate (64g/100g), iron (1.5mg/100g), moisture (89.6g/100g), protein (1.9g/100g), fibre (1.2g/100g), calories 35 (kcal/100g), and other minerals" [5]. "The total area and production under okra in the world is reported to be 1.26 million ha and 22.29 million tonnes, respectively. With an area of 1148 thousand hectares, an annual production of 6346 million tonnes, and a productivity of 11.9 million tonnes/ha, India leads the world in okra production, accounting for 5784 thousand tonnes (72% of total global production). Gujarat is the state that produces the most okra, with an estimated 1019.42 thousand tons produced annually" [6]. The several insect pests of okra, some are serious pests that frequently arise; for example, the borer has been recorded to inflict damage to okra shoots of 24.6 to 26.0 percent and losses to fruits of 40 to 100 percent [7]. The fruit borer, *Earias vittella*, which feeds on okra shoots, lays light greenish blue eggs with longitudinal ridges. The completely developed larvae (caterpillar) had a length of 1.64 cm and was orange, black, and green in color. The larvae have a pale-yellow ventral side and a brownish dorsal side with white stripes. Its pupa is a rough, bluntly rounded, gray, inverted boat-shaped cocoon that forms on the stem or fruits and is chocolate brown in color. Its adults have tiny forewings that measure 1.25 cm wide. The

adult borer's head and thorax are ochreous white, while its forewings are pale white with a green spot in the middle that resembles a wedge, and its hind wings are a silvery, creamy white color. The middle of the forewing of *Earias vittella* moths has a slender, light green longitudinal band. The main distinction between a male and a female is that the male has thick hair at the anal end of the body, while the female is larger than the male and has a V-shaped anal region [8]. "During the night, the female moth deposits 200–400 eggs by herself on the delicate leaves, bracts, and flower buds of okra plants. Incubation period of eggs are 3-4 days and the caterpillar passes through 6 stages, becoming full grown in 10-16 days. The moth emerges about 8–14 days during the summer and 18–23 days during the winter after pupating on plants or on the ground among fallen leaves. It takes 17–29 days to complete the lifecycle. In a year, multiple overlapping generations are completed" [9]. "OSFB bore into tender shoots flower buds and fruits. As a result, the attacked shoots thy up while tile flower buds and developing fruits dropped prematurely Affected fruit remain on the plants become unfit for human consumption" [10,11]. "It bore into the shoots and feed inside and damage seeds" [12]. "The first symptoms of attack were visible when the crop was 3 weeks old and the larvae bored into the shoots. Under severe attack, the top leaves wilted and the whole apex of the plant dropped down. As soon as fruiting began, the larvae moved to the flower buds, small fruits and even iliatre pods, causing reduction of yield" [13].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in Randomized Complete Block design with three replications. The spraying was done after the population level reaches to ETL. The ETL level of the pest is 5% of shoot damage and 10% fruit damage [14]. The observations were recorded one day before spraying, 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> days after spraying. The assessment of the shoot damage was done by calculating the number of damaged shoots and total numbers of healthy shoots observe from five randomly selected plants per plot and expressed in percentage. The percent of fruit damage was

assessed at each picking by counting the total number of affect fruits from each plot.

### 2.1 Shoot Infestation

The total number of shoots, as well as the number of infested shoots, was observed and recorded at weekly intervals from five selected plants in each plot. Shoot infestation was determined by percent using the following formula-

$$\% \text{Shoot infestation} = \frac{\text{Number of infested shoots}}{\text{Number of total shoots}} \times 100$$

### 2.2 Fruit Infestation

The percentage of fruit infestation determined using the following formula:

$$\% \text{Fruit infestation} = \frac{\text{Number of infested fruit}}{\text{Number of total fruits}} \times 100 \quad [15]$$

The healthy marketable yield from different treatments were collected separately and weighed. There were two sprays throughout the research period and the treatment cost and common cost of cultivation per hectare was calculated. Total income was realized by multiplying the total yield per hectare by the prevailing market price; while the net benefit was obtained by subtracting the total cost of plant protection from total income. The C: B was calculated by following formula –

$$\text{Cost Benefit Ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross return}}{\text{Total cost of cultivation}} \quad [16]$$

## 3. RESULTS AND DISUSSION

The data of overall mean (7DAS and 14 DAS) resulted that all the treatments were found to reduce the shoot infestation significantly over control and lowest shoot damage was recorded in Profenofos 50 EC + Cypermethrin 25 EC (4.63%) followed by Cypermethrin 25EC + Neem oil 3% (7.22%), Spinosad 45SC + Neem Oil 3% (8.11%), Spinosad 45 SC (9.40%), cypermethrin 25 EC (12.24%), profenophos 50 EC (15.21%). The least effective treatment was Neem oil 3% (17.43%) compared to all the treatments and maximum damage of shoot is found in untreated plot (27.66%).

The data of overall mean (7DAS and 14 DAS) of second spray indicated that among all the treatments lowest fruit damage was recorded in Profenofos 50 EC + Cypermethrin 25 EC (3.05%) followed by Cypermethrin 25EC + Neem

oil 3% (4.29%), Spinosad 45SC + Neem Oil 3% (5.54%), Spinosad 45 SC (5.95%), cypermethrin 25 EC (7.70%), profenophos 50 EC (8.56%). The least effective treatment was Neem oil 3% (9.38%) compared to all the treatments and maximum damage of fruit is found in untreated plot (22.04%).

The results of Profenofos 50 EC + Cypermethrin 25 EC percent mean infestation of first and second spray (3.84%) are closely confirming with the finding of Pardeshi et al. [17] (6.47%) was superior against *E. vittella*. Whereas Padwal et al. [18] (6.8%) and Nalini and Kumar [16] (8.91%) found Cypermethrin 25EC + Neem oil 3% (5.75%) next superior, followed by Spinosad 45SC + Neem Oil 3% (6.82%), Rawat et al. [19] (6.70%). Spinosad 45 SC (7.67%), were next superior treatment supported by Janu and Kumar [3] (12.02%) and Rajput and Tayde [20] (10.46%). Cypermethrin 25 EC (9.97%) were closely related to Neelima et al. [21] (10.29%) and Manikanta and Kumar [22] (11.48%) followed by Profenophos 50 EC (11.88%) supported by Patta et al. [23] (24.03%), Madhuri and Kumar [24] (18.33%), Kumar and Singh [25] (13.78%) and Neem oil 3% (13.40%) was least effective among all the treatments supported by Naik et al. [26] (13.45%) and Pachole et al. [27] (11.85%).

**Effect on yield:** The data revealed that T<sub>7</sub> Profenofos 50 EC + Cypermethrin 25 EC, T<sub>6</sub> Cypermethrin 25EC + Neem oil 3%, T<sub>5</sub> Spinosad 45SC + Neem Oil 3% and T<sub>2</sub> Spinosad 45 SC were found significantly superior over rest of the treatments and recorded 140 quintal/hac., 137quintal/hac., 132quintal/hac and 105quintal/hac yield of healthy fruits respectively. The remaining treatments viz., T<sub>3</sub> cypermethrin 25 EC, T<sub>4</sub> profenophos 50 +EC, T<sub>1</sub> Neem oil 3% were found least effective with low yield 95quintal/hac., 86quintal/hac., 70quintal/hac. respectively.

**Cost benefit ratio:** When cost benefit ratio worked out among the treatments studied, the best and most economic treatment was found to be (T<sub>7</sub>) Profenofos 50 EC + Cypermethrin 25 EC with C:B ratio (1:4.7) which was highest among all the treatments, the result is in closely agreement with Pardeshi et al. [17]. (T<sub>6</sub>) Cypermethrin 25EC + Neem oil 3% also reported profitable yield and cost benefit ratio (1:3.6) these findings are supported by Padwal et al. [18] and Nalini and Kumar [16]. The cost benefit ratio of (T<sub>5</sub>) Spinosad 45SC + Neem Oil 3%

(1:3.4) these results were to the findings reported by Rawat et al. [19] and, the next best cost benefit ratio obtained in the treatment (T<sub>2</sub>) Spinosad 45 SC (1:3.3) was supported by Devi et al. (2023) and Janu and Kumar [3]. The results of cost benefit ratio obtained in the treatment (T<sub>3</sub>) Cypermethrin 25 EC was (1:3.2) similar to Naik

et al. [26] and Sureshsing and Tayde [28]. Treatments (T<sub>4</sub>) Profenophos 50 EC and (T<sub>1</sub>) Neem oil 3% showed least cost benefit ratio (1:2.9) and (1:2.0) respectively, the result is in closely agreement with Madhuri and Kumar [24], Kumar and Singh [25] and Rani and Kumar [29], Naik et al. [26].




Fig. 1. Effect of insecticides and their combinations against okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vitella* during *kharif* season (2023) (Overall mean of first and second spray)

**Table 1. Effect of insecticides and their combination against okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vitella* after first and second spray**

S.No.	Treatments	Dosage	Percent infestation of shoot and fruit borer								Overall I mean	Yield (q/hac.)	C: B
			1 <sup>st</sup> spray (shoot infestation)				2 <sup>nd</sup> spray (fruit infestation)						
			1DBS	7DAS	14DAS	Mean	1DBS	7DAS	14DAS	Mean			
T <sub>1</sub>	Neem oil 3 %	30 ml lit <sup>-1</sup>	20.84	16.77 <sup>b</sup>	18.09 <sup>b</sup>	17.43 <sup>b</sup>	17.50 <sup>ab</sup>	8.40 <sup>b</sup>	10.37 <sup>b</sup>	9.33 <sup>b</sup>	13.40 <sup>b</sup>	75	1:2.0
T <sub>2</sub>	Spinosad 45 SC	0.5 ml lit <sup>-1</sup>	19.59	8.47 <sup>de</sup>	10.34 <sup>de</sup>	9.40 <sup>e</sup>	13.22 <sup>d</sup>	4.56 <sup>d</sup>	7.24 <sup>de</sup>	5.95 <sup>e</sup>	7.67 <sup>cd</sup>	105	1:3.3
T <sub>3</sub>	Cypermethrin 25 EC	0.5 ml lit <sup>-1</sup>	18.84	11.36 <sup>cd</sup>	13.12 <sup>cd</sup>	12.24 <sup>d</sup>	15.04 <sup>c</sup>	6.74 <sup>c</sup>	8.66 <sup>cd</sup>	7.70 <sup>d</sup>	9.97 <sup>bc</sup>	95	1:3.2
T <sub>4</sub>	Profenofos 50 EC	1.5 ml lit <sup>-1</sup>	19.38	14.21 <sup>bc</sup>	16.22 <sup>bc</sup>	15.21 <sup>c</sup>	16.24 <sup>bc</sup>	7.56 <sup>bc</sup>	9.57 <sup>bc</sup>	8.56 <sup>c</sup>	11.88 <sup>b</sup>	86	1:2.9
T <sub>5</sub>	Spinosad 45 SC +Neem oil 3 %	0.25 ml lit <sup>-1</sup> + 30 ml lit <sup>-1</sup>	19.06	7.01 <sup>e</sup>	9.21 <sup>ef</sup>	8.11 <sup>f</sup>	12.73 <sup>d</sup>	4.60 <sup>d</sup>	6.48 <sup>ef</sup>	5.54 <sup>e</sup>	6.82 <sup>cde</sup>	132	1:3.4
T <sub>6</sub>	Cypermethrin 25 EC +Neem oil 3%	0.25 ml lit <sup>-1</sup> + 30 ml lit <sup>-1</sup>	18.39	6.59 <sup>ef</sup>	7.85 <sup>ef</sup>	7.22 <sup>g</sup>	11.96 <sup>d</sup>	3.32 <sup>e</sup>	5.26 <sup>fg</sup>	4.29 <sup>f</sup>	5.75 <sup>de</sup>	137	1:3.6
T <sub>7</sub>	Profenophos50 EC + Cypermethrin 25 EC	1.5 ml lit <sup>-1</sup>	14.33	3.34 <sup>f</sup>	5.92 <sup>f</sup>	4.63 <sup>h</sup>	9.76 <sup>e</sup>	2.05 <sup>f</sup>	4.05 <sup>g</sup>	3.05 <sup>g</sup>	3.84 <sup>e</sup>	140	1:4.7
T <sub>0</sub>	Untreated check	-	15.89	26.30 <sup>a</sup>	29.02 <sup>a</sup>	27.66 <sup>a</sup>	18.76 <sup>a</sup>	21.51 <sup>a</sup>	22.58 <sup>a</sup>	22.04 <sup>a</sup>	24.85 <sup>a</sup>	42	1:1.5
F-Test			NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		
S. Ed (±)			-----	3.58	3.65	0.88	1.61	1.21	1.53	0.68	3.69		
C.D.			3.15	1.61	1.70	3.48	0.75	0.57	0.70	2.81	3.24		

DBS- Day before Spraying, DAS- Day after Spraying, NS- Non significant, S- Significant.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

*Earias vittella* is a major pest of okra with high damage potential which makes its control indispensable, so research was conducted to manage *E. vittella* using various chemical insecticides, botanicals and their combinations belonging to different groups is in vague for suppression of this pest but only partial control of pest could be achieved by using chemicals and botanicals alone. So results of this study had indicated that all the treatments are significantly superior over the untreated control, but the combinations of the insecticides had shown the highest efficacy against *E. vittella* in case of infestation as well as yield. However, among different tested insecticides combination of profenofos + cypermethrin shows best result 3.84 percent infestation reduction with 140q/hac yield and 1:4.7 cost benefit ratio followed by Cypermethrin 25EC + Neem oil 3% (5.75%) whereas the least effective treatment was Neem oil 3%(13.40%).The sequence of treatment according to their reduction in mean percent infestation found T<sub>7</sub> profenofos + cypermethrin <T<sub>6</sub> Cypermethrin 25EC + Neem oil 3% <T<sub>5</sub> Spinosad 45SC + Neem Oil 3% <T<sub>2</sub> Spinosad 45 SC <T<sub>3</sub> cypermethrin 25 EC <T<sub>4</sub> profenophos 50 EC <T<sub>1</sub> Neem oil 3% <T<sub>0</sub> untreated check. The combinations of Botanicals and Chemical insecticides are also showing good results in suppressing pest population and can be a part of integrated pest management as an effective tool under chemical control. Hence, it is suggested that the effective insecticides may be alternated in harmony with the existing Integrated pest management programs in order to avoid the problems associated with insecticidal resistance, pest resurgence etc.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Singh S, Choudhary DP, Sharma HC, Mahla RS, Mathur YS, Ahuja DB. Effect of insecticidal modules against jassid and shoot and fruit borer in okra. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2008;70(3):197-199.
2. Sekyere DO, Akromah R, Nyamah EY, Brenya E, Yeboah S. Characterization of okra (*Abelmoschus spp.* L.) germplasm based on morphological characters in Ghana. Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science. 2011;3(13):367-378.
3. Janu R, Kumar A. Field efficacy of selected insecticides against okra shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* (Fab.)). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(4):1549–1551.
4. Baloch AF, Qayyum SM, Baloch MA. Growth and yield performance of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L) Cultivars Gomal University Journal Research. 1990; 10:191.
5. Fageria MS, Choudhary BR, Dhaka RS. Vegetable Crops Production Technology; 2012. Text Book ISBN 978-81-272- 0702.
6. Anonymous. National Horticulture Board; 2021-22.
7. Pareek BL, Bhargava MC. Estimation of avoidable losses in vegetable crops caused by borers under semi-arid conditions of Rajasthan. Insect Environment. 2003; 9:59-60.
8. Aman AS, Kumar A, Mishra PK, Kumar P, Chowdhary A. Integrated approach for management of okra shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fab.) The Agriculture Magazine. 2022;1(2):2583-1755.
9. Kaveri G, Kumar A. Field efficacy of certain biopesticides against okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) on okra, *Abelmoschus esculentus* (Linn.) Moench Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020;8(6):1279-1281.
10. Mohan JK, Krishnaiah, Prasead VG. Chemical control of insect pest of okra. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1983;45(2): 252-258.
11. Atwal AS. Agricultural Pest of India and South-East Asia Kalyani Publishers. New Delhi, Ludhiana. 1976;283-285.
12. Karim MA. Insect Pest of vegetable crops and their management. 1992;112.
13. Singh Y, Bieho SL. Some biological and bionomial observation of *Earias vittella* spp. Bulletin of Entomology. New-Delhi. 1989;30(1):84-91.
14. Shirale D, Patil M, Zehr U, Parimi S. Newer insecticides for the management of brinjal fruit and shoot borer, *Leucinodes orbonelis*. Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2012;40(4):273-275.
15. Choudhury MAR, Mondal MF, Khan AU, Hossain MS, Azad MOK, Prodhan MDH, Naznin MT. Evaluation of biological approaches for controlling shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* F.) of okra grown in peri-urban area in Bangladesh. Horticulture. 2021;7(1):7.
16. Nalini C, Kumar A. Population Dynamics and Comparative Efficacy of Certain

- Chemicals and Biopesticides against Okra Shoot and Fruit Borer (*Earias Vittella*); An International Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences. 2016;11(3):1589-1592.
17. Pardeshi AM, Bharodia RK, Jethva DM, Rathod RT, Patel PV. Bioefficacy of chemical insecticides against of chemical insecticides against *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) on okra. Agricultural Science Digest. 2010;31(1):25–29.
  18. Padwal KG, Kumar A. Efficacy of plant products and combinations with cypermethrin in management of *Earias vittella* of Okra. Annuals Plant Protection Sciences. 2013;22(1):73-75.
  19. Rawat JK, Navneet, Reddy DP. To evaluate comparative efficacy of spinosad individual and in combination with botanicals against okra shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vitella* Fabricius). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020; 8(3):189-192.
  20. Rajput GS, Tayde A. Population dynamics and comparative efficacy of certain novel insecticides, botanicals and bioagents, against shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vitella* Fabricius) of okra crop [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2017; 5(4):1667-1670.
  21. Neelima K, Kakde A, Thakur S, Venugopal U. Efficacy of selected botanicals against shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fab.) on okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(11):2131-2134.
  22. Manikanta SEN, Kumar A. Efficacy of certain chemicals and essential oils against okra shoot and fruit borer [*Earias vittella* (Fabricius)]. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(4): 1385-1389
  23. Patta S, Sharma AK, Pachori R, Mishra YK. Bio-efficacy of newer and bio-rational insecticides against shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fabricius) of okra. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(5):3402-3404.
  24. Madhuri K, Kumar A. To study the field efficacy of certain chemicals and neem oil against shoot and fruit borer *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) on okra. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(4):1575-1578.
  25. Kumar R, Singh PP. Field efficacy of insecticides against okra shoot and fruit borer *Earias vitella* (F.) Indian Journal of Entomology. 2022;84(1):145-148.
  26. Naik VAK, Tayde AR, Chandra AS. Efficacy of Selected Chemical Insecticides against Shoot and Fruitborer [*Earias vittella* (Fabricius)] on Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.]. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34(23):656-661.
  27. Pachole SH, Thakur S, Simon S. Comparative bioefficacy of selected chemical insecticides and bio-rationals against shoot and fruit borer [*Earias vittella* (Fabricius)] on okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(5):1493-1495.
  28. Sureshsing MC, Tayde AR. Efficacy of certain biorationals against shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee) of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):1857-1859.
  29. Rani K, Kumar A. Field efficacy of different chemicals against shoot and fruit borer [*Earias vittella* (Fabricius)] of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022; 11(4):1603-1607.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116822>