



## **Influence of *Rhizobium* Inoculation and Phosphate Rock Fertilizer Application on Growth and Yield Components of Green gram (*Vigna radiata*) in Tharaka-Nithi County, Kenya**

**F. K. Mbaka<sup>1\*</sup>, G. O. Oloo-abucheli<sup>1</sup>, P. K. Njoroge<sup>1</sup> and H. O. Ndukhu<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, Chuka University, P. O. Box 109-60400, Kenya.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author FKM identified the research gap and objectives, wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors HON and GOOA designed the study and performed statistical analysis. Author PKN assisted in search of literature and formatting of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/AJRCS/2021/v6i130110

#### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Al-kazafy Hassan Sabry, National Research Centre, Egypt.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Anirban Basu, University of Hyderabad, India.

(2) J. Karpagam, Acharya N. G Ranga Agricultural University, India.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/66068>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 01 January 2021**  
**Accepted 04 March 2021**  
**Published 12 March 2021**

### **ABSTRACT**

Green gram is an important drought tolerant grain legume crop in Kenya. In Tharaka Nithi County green gram is as a major source of food security. Hence, there is need for a cheap and sustainable system of agricultural production is needed to increase its production. The legume/*Rhizobium* symbiosis in combination with phosphate rock offers a natural system for improving green gram nitrogen fixation. Therefore, this study was aimed at determining the effect of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock fertilizer application on growth and yield attributes of N26 and KS20 varieties. The study was carried out at Chuka University Horticultural Research Farm for two seasons, November 2019 to January 2020 and February to April 2020. Factorial experiment of 2x2x2 was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). There were three factors, variety (N26 and KS20), phosphate rock (0 and 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) and *Rhizobium* MEA 716 (0 and 100 g ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment contained eight treatments which were replicated three times. The data was collected fortnightly on four randomly selected plants on parameters such as plant height, number of leaves, branches,

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: mbakafelister3@gmail.com, mbakafelister@gmail.com;

Pods, total dry biomass, shoot and root dry weight and grain yield. These data were analysed using Statistical Analysis Software (SAS). Significant means are separated using Least Significant Difference (LSD) at probability level of 5%. Results for both seasons indicated that combined application of *Rhizobium* MEA 716 *Rhizobium* 100 g ha<sup>-1</sup> and phosphate rock 30 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> phosphate under variety in treatment (R1P1V2) showed significantly (P<0.05) higher plant height (76.07 cm), number of branches (14.08 plant<sup>-1</sup>), shoot dry biomass (52.01 g plant<sup>-1</sup>), root dry biomass (7.60 g plant<sup>-1</sup>), total dry biomass (146.4 g plant<sup>-1</sup>), number of pods (84 plant<sup>-1</sup>) and yield (2158 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to variety N26 in treatment (R1P1V1). Therefore, combination of *Rhizobium* MEA 716 100 g ha<sup>-1</sup> and phosphate rock 30 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> under variety KS20 led to improved growth attributes equally yield components of KS20 variety over N26. From these findings, application of *Rhizobium* MEA716 at 100 g ha<sup>-1</sup> and 30 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> phosphate rock under variety KS20 was recommended for improved and a sustainable green gram production in the study area.

**Keywords:** Low green-gram production; *Rhizobium* inoculation; phosphate rock application; green gram yield improvement.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Green-gram (*Vigna radiata*) is the most important pulses in the world for its nutritive values as well as used for soil fertility improvement [1]. It is consumed as green pods and dry seeds as well as young shoots. Green-gram is majorly cultivated in the warmer regions of the world due to its drought tolerant characteristics and low inputs requirements [2]. It is currently cultivated on an area of about six million hectares worldwide, most of which are located in Asian countries and to a small extent in some parts of Africa, USA, and Australia [3]. Ninety per cent of the world's green gram production is Asia with India contributing around 50% [4]. Green gram can approximately fix 30-251 kg N /ha/year [5]. Nitrogen fixing symbionts productivity of green-gram is often limited by N deficiency [6]. Hence, its production was increased by the application of commercial N fertilizers. The rate of N-fixation varies considerably depending on the type of legume cultivar, presence of appropriate *Rhizobia* and other soil variables [7]. In particular symbiotic N-fixation demands high phosphorous which consumes large amounts of energy [8] generating metabolism strongly depends upon availability of P [9].

Africa contributes to 0.4 – 1% of world's green gram production. The main producers included; Nigeria, South Africa, and Zimbabwe. In Eastern Kenya region green gram the major production areas being in order of importance found in Kitui, Makueni, Tharaka Nithi, Machakos and Mbeere are the leading areas cultivating green gram on an average of 260,000 ha. National production ranges from 61,000 to 121,000 MT/Ha. Over the same period, national consumption ranges from 58,000 to 127,000 MT/Ha and the deficit is

bridged through imports. Yields average 0.15 MT/Ha [10]. Studies that were done between 2011 to 2014 showed that Kenya's green gram consumption was mainly from imports [11].

The decline of green gram exports can be made sustainably by biological nitrogen fixation in green gram to replenish soil fertility [12]. Commercial inoculant strains may evolve quickly in the soil [11]. There are even reports from Brazil of re-isolated *Rhizobia* that had become more competitive in their new environment while they maintained their nitrogen fixation ability [13]. Also phosphate rock has been recommended for sustainable agriculture [14]. Addition of phosphate rock fertilizer leads to increase in legume [15]. Therefore, this research study was aimed at to determine the interactive effects of *Rhizobium* inoculation and phosphate rock on two different varieties namely KS20 and N26.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Site Description

The experiment was carried out at Chuka University Horticultural farm in Tharaka-Nithi County for two seasons (November 2019 to January 2020 and February to April 2020). The site was situated at latitude of about 0.3195° S, longitude of 37.6575° E with an altitude of 1401 m above mean sea level and in a midland zone [16]. The average annual temperature is 20.8°C and annual rainfall of 1599 mm distributed bimodally March to May and October to December [17]. The soils were of volcanic origin in this region classified as nitisols with basic and ultra-basic igneous rocks which are highly leached [18]. The climate is favourable for cultivation of

green-gram, tea, coffee, maize, cowpeas, pigeon pea, tobacco and livestock keeping [19].

## 2.2 Experimental Design

A factorial experiment of 2x2x2 was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD). There were three factors, varieties (N26-nylon and KS20-uncle), phosphate rock (PR (0 and 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) and MEA 716 *Rhizobium* rates (0 and 100 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) making a total of eight treatments. Each plot measured 1.5 x 1.8 m with a spacing of 45 cm between rows and 15 cm within plants, accommodating plant population of 148,149 plants per hectare.

## 2.3 Material Purchase

The commercial inoculum for green gram MEA 716 was procured from MEA Company limited (Nairobi). The seeds of KS20 and N26 green gram varieties were purchased from Kenya Seed Company (Nairobi) and Phosphate rock fertilizer from local agrochemical shop.

## 2.4 Microbial Inoculation Process

The inoculum was applied as per the manufacturer's instructions (5 g of inoculant per 15 kg of seeds). Seeds were wetted with warm water then coated with a filter mud containing inoculum using gum Arabica (supplied with the inoculum). Inoculated seeds were air dried at room temperature for 15 minutes to avoid seed dehydration [20].

## 2.5 Land Preparation and Planting of Green gram

Land was prepared to a fine tilth condition. In the first season planting was done in November 2019 and second season February 2020. Treated seeds with inoculum were planted first to avoid contamination with uninoculated seeds. Phosphate rock was applied according to the treatment arrangement. Two seeds per hill were planted at a spacing of 45 cm between rows and 15 cm within rows at a depth of 3 cm and followed by light compaction to enhance seed-soil contact.

## 2.6 Data Collection

The data collection on growth variables was collected on four randomly selected and tagged plants from two middle rows starting from 28

DAS at an interval of 14 days upto full maturity. This is because nodulation and nitrogenase activity initiated after 10 - 11 days after emergence and can only supply the required nutrient demand after 25 days after emergence [21]. The data on growth and yield variables such as plant height, number of leaves, number of branches, shoot and root dry biomass, total dry biomass, number of pods and grain yield are measured based on weight basis. The number of pods was measured at physiological maturity of four tagged plants from two inner rows in each experimental plot counted and recorded according to the treatment. Ten plants were randomly selected from each experimental plot and grain yield determined by hand picking of pods from each plant separately. The dry weight of seeds harvested was recorded as seed yield per plant and extrapolated to yield in Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### 2.6.1 Measurements of growth and yield parameters

Plant height, number of leaves and branches was done at 28 days after planting on four randomly selected plants from middle two rows of each experimental plot. A graduated meter rule was used to measure plant height from the soil level to the terminal leaf of the green-gram plants. Height from each plant was recorded in centimeters.

Shoot and root dry biomass - four randomly selected plants were dug together with roots and shoots, but shoots were cut off then packed separately in labeled plastic bags and taken immediately to the laboratory for shoot and root dry weight determination. Shoot and root dry weights per plant were taken after the fresh plant samples were oven dried for 48 hours at 70°C as described by [22] and their dry weights were determined using an electronic balance and recorded separately in grams.

Total dry biomass -this was done at maturity on randomly selected four plants from two inner rows of each experimental unit. The selected plants were dug together with roots and placed in labeled plastic bags and taken immediately to the laboratory for biomass determination. The plants were oven dried at 60°C for 48 hours and dry weight biomass determined using an electronic balance. The weight of each plant was recorded in kilograms per hacter.

The number of pods was measured at physiological maturity of four tagged plants from

two inner rows in each experimental plot counted and recorded according to the treatment. Ten plants were randomly selected from each experimental plot and grain yield determined by hand picking of pods from each plant separately. The dry weight of seeds harvested was recorded as seed yield per plant and extrapolated to yield in  $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ .

Grain yield - at physiological maturity, 10 plants were randomly selected from each experimental plot and grain yield determined by hand picking of pods from each plant separately. The grains from each plant were air dried and dry weights determined using an electronic balance and recorded accordingly. The dry weight of seeds harvested was recorded as seed yield per plant and extrapolated to yield in  $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$  by multiplying the yield per plant by the possible yield in  $\text{Kg/ha}$  [23].

## 2.7 Statistical Analysis

Data was collected and analysed by using Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) version 9.4 [24] and subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) as implemented in SAS. Significant means were separated using Least Significance Difference (LSD) at probability level of 5%.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Interactive Effects of *Rhizobium* Inoculation and Phosphate Rock Fertilizer Application on Growth Attributes of KS20 and N26 Green Gram Varieties

#### 3.1.1 Plant height of KS20 and N26 green grams varieties

Combined application of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock shows its influence in increasing trend in means of plant height of green grams with respect to the day after sowing. However, treatment R1P1V2 recorded significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher plant heights in the first season (14.99, 33.28, 58.26, 71.83 and 75.86 cm, respectively) compared to R1P1V1 (Table 1). In the second season significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference on plant height was observed between variety KS20 and N26 at 28, 42 and 56 DAS with R1P1V2 recording higher plant heights of 15.55, 36.21 and 64.07 cm, respectively (Table 1). These significant differences in plant height between the two varieties might be due to the growth habit and differences in soil adaptability with respect to environmental condition. These

results were in agreement with [25] observed variations in plant height among soybean varieties after application of *Rhizobium* and phosphorous. On the other hand, single application of phosphate rock as a dose showed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) differences between the two varieties in the second season for the treatment R0P1V2 recording higher plant heights (11.16, 32.85, 54.32, 66.08 and 69.90 cm) over R0P1V1 (Table 2). This is because phosphorous promotes the rate of root growth and extension of root hairs. Thereby, effective exploration of soil and interception of nutrients has been promoted by plant height [26].

The differences in plant height between KS20 and N26 varieties are related with the findings of [27] demonstrated significant differences in growth of five different soybean varieties. Similarly observation also findings of [28], showed that phosphorus application significantly increased mungbean height over controls. In addition, *Rhizobium* inoculation also recorded significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) similar increase in plant height compared to controls between KS20 and N26 (Table 1). Similar observations have been observed in [29] and [30] which showed that, *Rhizobium* inoculation increased plant height over the controls. Treatments R0P0V1 and R0P0V2 recorded shortest plant heights which were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) similar throughout the growing periods. This might have been due to low P availability in soils which restricted deeper root development.

#### 3.1.2. Influence of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock application on number of leaves between KS20 and N26 green grams varieties

The interactive effects of phosphate rock and *Rhizobium* inoculation had a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) influence on the number of fully mature leaves per plant between two varieties KS20 and N26 varieties over other treatments. In the first season treatment R1P1V1 recorded significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher number of leaves at 70 and 84 DAS as 11.91 and 14.25 per plant respectively. Similar trends were observed in the second season at 70 and 84 DAS 12.50 and 14.75 per plant respectively (Table 2). This increase in number of leaves may be due to prolonged rains and nitrogen inoculation which promoted vegetative growth. Additionally, combined application of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock leads to improved soil properties and increased microbial activity thus significant increase in number of leaves [31].

**Table 1. Means of Plant height (cm) under different treatments at different growth days**

Seasons	Treatments	28DAS	42DAS	56DAS	70DAS	84DAS
I	R0P0V2	8.050 <sup>*cd</sup>	15.97 <sup>a</sup>	26.15 <sup>a</sup>	48.12 <sup>a</sup>	58.96 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V2	10.675 <sup>fg</sup>	30.36 <sup>de</sup>	50.43 <sup>cd</sup>	60.84 <sup>c</sup>	68.95 <sup>de</sup>
	R1P0V2	8.850 <sup>cde</sup>	27.08 <sup>bc</sup>	35.26 <sup>b</sup>	60.84 <sup>c</sup>	65.28 <sup>bc</sup>
	R1P1V2	14.992 <sup>i</sup>	33.28 <sup>ef</sup>	58.26 <sup>f</sup>	71.83 <sup>fg</sup>	75.86 <sup>g</sup>
	R0P0V1	6.717 <sup>b</sup>	13.71 <sup>a</sup>	23.88 <sup>a</sup>	45.29 <sup>a</sup>	56.89 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V1	9.583 <sup>ef</sup>	29.45 <sup>cd</sup>	49.51 <sup>c</sup>	60.20 <sup>bc</sup>	67.68 <sup>cd</sup>
	R1P0V1	7.992 <sup>c</sup>	25.23 <sup>b</sup>	35.26 <sup>b</sup>	55.39 <sup>b</sup>	66.90 <sup>bcd</sup>
	R1P1V1	11.408 <sup>gh</sup>	29.72 <sup>cd</sup>	52.31 <sup>cde</sup>	67.73 <sup>ef</sup>	72.04 <sup>ef</sup>
II	R0P0V2	5.850 <sup>a</sup>	16.13 <sup>a</sup>	26.38 <sup>a</sup>	48.17 <sup>a</sup>	59.71 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V2	11.167 <sup>gh</sup>	32.85 <sup>e</sup>	54.32 <sup>def</sup>	66.08 <sup>de</sup>	69.16 <sup>de</sup>
	R1P0V2	8.917 <sup>cde</sup>	27.33 <sup>bc</sup>	36.58 <sup>b</sup>	63.17 <sup>cde</sup>	65.28 <sup>bc</sup>
	R1P1V2	15.558 <sup>j</sup>	36.22 <sup>f</sup>	64.08 <sup>g</sup>	75.13 <sup>g</sup>	76.10 <sup>g</sup>
	R0P0V1	5.317 <sup>a</sup>	13.55 <sup>a</sup>	24.14 <sup>a</sup>	46.48 <sup>a</sup>	57.17 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V1	9.242 <sup>de</sup>	30.77 <sup>de</sup>	51.69 <sup>cde</sup>	63.96 <sup>cde</sup>	67.78 <sup>cd</sup>
	R1P0V1	8.475 <sup>cde</sup>	25.66 <sup>b</sup>	35.55 <sup>b</sup>	62.55 <sup>cd</sup>	64.50 <sup>b</sup>
	R1P1V1	11.933 <sup>h</sup>	32.31 <sup>de</sup>	56.19 <sup>ef</sup>	72.58 <sup>fg</sup>	74.26 <sup>fg</sup>
C.V (%)	8.5	7.6	7.4	5.6	3.1	
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	1.3251	3.212	5.089	5.460	3.391	

Legend: \*Means followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different from each other at ( $P < 0.05$ ) level of significance. R0P0V2- Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R0P1V2 - Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x KS20, R1P0V2 - Rhizobium 100g/ha phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R1P1V2 - Rhizobium 100g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x KS20, R0P0V1, Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R0P1V1 -Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x N26, R1P0V1(N26)-Rhizobium 100g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x N26, Rhizobium 100g/ha X Phosphate rock 30kg/ha x N26, C.V- Coefficient of Variations, LSD- Least Significant Difference

**Table 2. Means of Number of leaves per plant under different treatments at different growth days after sowing of KS20 and N26 green grams varieties**

seasons	Treatments	28DAS	42DAS	56DAS	70DAS	84DAS
I	R0P0V2	0.417 <sup>*a</sup>	1.500 <sup>a</sup>	4.167 <sup>a</sup>	5.583 <sup>a</sup>	7.33 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V2	1.833 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.667 <sup>b</sup>	6.333 <sup>cd</sup>	8.667 <sup>bc</sup>	9.83 <sup>de</sup>
	R1P0V2	1.917 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.583 <sup>b</sup>	7.000 <sup>d</sup>	9.083 <sup>bcd</sup>	10.50 <sup>e</sup>
	R1P1V2	3.250 <sup>ef</sup>	7.500 <sup>c</sup>	9.583 <sup>fg</sup>	10.667 <sup>e</sup>	13.92 <sup>g</sup>
	R0P0V1	0.333 <sup>a</sup>	2.083 <sup>a</sup>	5.00 <sup>ab</sup>	6.333 <sup>a</sup>	8.00 <sup>abc</sup>
	R0P1V1	1.583 <sup>bc</sup>	4.33 <sup>b</sup>	6.750 <sup>cd</sup>	9.250 <sup>bcd</sup>	10.25 <sup>e</sup>
	R1P0V1	2.083 <sup>cd</sup>	4.917 <sup>b</sup>	7.300 <sup>d</sup>	9.333 <sup>cd</sup>	10.83 <sup>ef</sup>
	R1P1V1	2.667 <sup>de</sup>	7.333 <sup>c</sup>	9.833 <sup>g</sup>	11.917 <sup>ef</sup>	14.25 <sup>gh</sup>
II	R0P0V2	1.00a	4.417 <sup>b</sup>	5.667 <sup>bc</sup>	6.500 <sup>a</sup>	7.17 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V2	2.167 <sup>cd</sup>	6.500 <sup>c</sup>	7.083 <sup>d</sup>	8.333 <sup>bc</sup>	8.67 <sup>bcd</sup>
	R1P0V2	2.667 <sup>de</sup>	7.500 <sup>c</sup>	7.417 <sup>de</sup>	9.667 <sup>d</sup>	10.00 <sup>e</sup>
	R1P1V2	4.250 <sup>g</sup>	9.250 <sup>d</sup>	10.25 <sup>g</sup>	10.250 <sup>d</sup>	12.33 <sup>f</sup>
	R0P0V1	0.583 <sup>a</sup>	4.471 <sup>b</sup>	4.917 <sup>ab</sup>	6.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.50 <sup>ab</sup>
	R0P1V1	2.000 <sup>cd</sup>	5.083 <sup>b</sup>	6.417 <sup>cd</sup>	8.00 <sup>b</sup>	8.75 <sup>cd</sup>
	R1P0V1	2.750 <sup>de</sup>	7.583 <sup>c</sup>	8.417 <sup>ef</sup>	9.50 <sup>cd</sup>	10.95 <sup>f</sup>
	R1P1V1	3.750 <sup>fg</sup>	9.671 <sup>d</sup>	10.750 <sup>g</sup>	12.50 <sup>e</sup>	14.75 <sup>g</sup>
C.V (%)	30.3	15.8	12.1	9.6	8.0	
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	1.0500	1.4476	1.4210	1.395	1.32608	

Legend: \*Means followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different from each other at ( $P < 0.05$ ) level of significance. R0P0V2- Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R0P1V2 - Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x KS20, R1P0V2 - Rhizobium 100g/ha phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R1P1V2 - Rhizobium 100g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x KS20, R0P0V1, Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R0P1V1 -Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x N26, R1P0V1(N26)-Rhizobium 100g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x N26, Rhizobium 100g/ha X Phosphate rock 30kg/ha x N26, C.V- Coefficient of Variations, LSD- Least Significant Difference

Moreover leaves in KS20 variety appeared larger and darker in colour compared to N26 variety which resulted in higher uptake of N and P and their use efficiency. A field observations study conducted by [32] concluded that phosphorus in combination with *Rhizobium* inoculation enhanced dark green colour of leaves showing that *Rhizobium* inoculation enhances P uptake leading to the development of a larger photosynthetic area. *Rhizobium* inoculated treatments in the second season recorded significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher number of leaves at 70 and 84 DAS with treatment R1P0V1 (Table 2). This is because *Rhizobium* inoculation increases nitrogen levels in soils and plant uptake which promoted vegetative growth. Biofertilizers application increased growth parameters such as number of leaves [26]. Moreover, treatment with phosphate rock application alone resulted to a significant ( $P<0.05$ ) difference in number of leaves at 42 and 56 DAS with R0P1V1 treatment recording higher number of leaves over R0P1V2 (Table 2). Treatments without phosphate rock and *Rhizobium* R0P0V1 and R0P0V2 recorded lowest number of leaves for both seasons which had insignificant differences between KS20 and N26 for both seasons (Table 2). This shows clearly nitrogen and phosphorous plays a key role in root development nutrient uptake from soil pools number of leaves.

### 3.1.3 Influence of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock application on number of branches of green grams varieties N26 and KS20

A significant effect of combined application of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock on number of branches was observed at 70 and 84 DAS with R1P1V2 treatment recording highest number branches of 9.00 and 10.83 per plant respectively compared to R1P1V1 of N26 variety with 8.16 and 9.91 plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). In the second season R1P1V2 recorded significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher number of branches throughout the growing period over R1P1V1 treatment (Table 3). The highest number of branches increases the surface area for production. Increase in number of branches in treatment R1P1V2 can be attributed to higher uptake of P and its use efficiency. These results corroborates with the findings of [33] stated that P fertilizer applied at planting can enhance root development leading to increased nutrient uptake thus promoting branch development. There is also significant increase in number of branches was observed in R0P1V2 treatment with application of phosphate rock alone at 70 and 84 DAS 8.83 and 9.50 per plant respectively in

second season (Table 3). *Rhizobium* inoculation alone had no significant ( $P<0.05$ ) differences in number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> between N26 and KS20 varieties for both seasons (Table 3). This shows that phosphorus plays a vital role in growth, physiological and developmental process in plant [34].

## 3.2 Interactive Effects of Treatments on Biomass and Yield Components of two Green gram Varieties

### 3.2.1 Effect of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock on shoot, root and total dry biomass weight of KS20 and N26 green gram varieties

Interaction effect of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) affected shoot and root biomass of KS20 and N26 varieties. However, treatment R1P1V2 recorded the highest shoot and root dry biomass of 49.91 and 52.01 g per plant, 142.3 and 143.01 g per plant respectively for the two seasons (Table 4). This is because combined application of PR and *Rhizobium* resulted in optimum nutrient supply particularly N and P which favored high rate of photosynthesis. This was in agreement with [35] who observed that N and P affected the capacity of climbing beans to produce higher shoot biomass and the increase in root dry weight can be attributed to P increase in nutrients which promoted development. Higher root dry matter of soybean variety was influenced by P application and *Rhizobium* inoculation [29]. Similar findings are also reported by [35] and [36].

Treatments with *Rhizobium* inoculation for R1P0V1 and R1P0V2 had no significant ( $P<0.05$ ) difference in shoot dry biomass between R1P0V2 and R1P0V1 for both Seasons (Table 4). The increase in shoot dry biomass due to *Rhizobium* inoculation could be attributed to the increased N uptake by root of inoculated plots and soil nutrient availability leading to a higher shoot biomass production [37]. Application of phosphate rock treatment R0P1V2 recorded significant ( $P<0.05$ ) higher shoot dry biomass of (39.43 and 41.00 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) over R0P1V1 with 29.01 and 33.08 g per plant for the two seasons (Table 4). This increased in shoot dry biomass due application demonstrated the positive impact of P fertilizer in shoot dry biomass. Phosphorous is important in the activation of metabolic processes necessary for vegetative growth resulting in high shoot dry matter accumulation [37].

There was significant interaction between phosphate rock and green gram varieties on root biomass matter yield. However, R0P1V2 recorded slight significant ( $P<0.05$ ) higher root dry biomass of 4.28 and 4.99 g per plant over R0P1V1 with 3.48 and 4.46 g per plant suggesting differences in P use efficiency between KS20 and N26 varieties. The results suggested that P fertilization plays a vital role in the performance of the green gram varieties depending on the available soil nutrients. The increase in root dry weight with P application was in agreement with the findings of [38] who reported that application of P alone significantly increased root length and root dry biomass. Treatment with *Rhizobium inoculation* alone had insignificant ( $P<0.05$ ) difference in shoot and root dry biomass, although the results were slightly higher compared to treatments without PR or *Rhizobium*. This finding agrees with [39] who observed a significant increase in shoot and root dry biomass of inoculated soybean over uninoculated. This was contrary, to the works done by [40] who observed that, commercial *Rhizobia* did not give positive results of root d weight.

Significant ( $P<0.05$ ) increase in total dry biomass of KS20 and N26 varieties are observed with combined application of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock over other treatments. However, R1P1V2 treatment recorded significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher total dry biomass of 145.539 and 146.32 g per plant compared to R1P1V1 treatment (Table 4). This is because in legume biomass increases exponentially at vegetative stages when the plants uptake optimum nutrient where P becomes an essential element for energy transfer processes in plants [41]. Also research has revealed that biomass yield is dependent on legume variety [42]. Treatments with *Rhizobium inoculation* alone recorded a significant ( $P<0.05$ ) slightly higher TDB in treatment R1P0V2 (135.3 And 137.4 g per plant) over R1P0V1 treatment. Phosphate rock application resulted to a significant ( $P<0.05$ ) increase of total dry biomass in R0P1V2 of 122.2 and 115.7 g per plant for the first and second season respectively (Table 4). This reconciles with the findings of [43] reported that P supply stimulated vegetative growth of soybean resulting into more dry biomass.

**Table 3. Means of Number of branches per plant under different treatments at different growth days after sowing of green grams**

Seasons	Treatments	42DAS	56DAS	70DAS	84DAS
I	R0P0V2	0.583 <sup>*ab</sup>	1.500 <sup>a</sup>	3.583a <sup>b</sup>	5.500 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V2	1.333 <sup>bcd</sup>	2.750 <sup>bc</sup>	6.417 <sup>fg</sup>	8.167 <sup>c</sup>
	R1P0V2	0.917 <sup>abc</sup>	2.500 <sup>abc</sup>	6.010 <sup>efg</sup>	7.917 <sup>bc</sup>
	R1P1V2	3.167 <sup>f</sup>	6.667 <sup>g</sup>	9.00 <sup>f</sup>	10.833 <sup>e</sup>
	R0P0V1	0.333 <sup>a</sup>	1.417 <sup>a</sup>	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	5.167 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V1	0.971 <sup>abc</sup>	1.833 <sup>ab</sup>	4.833 <sup>cd</sup>	7.833 <sup>bc</sup>
	R1P0V1	0.833 <sup>abc</sup>	2.33 <sup>abc</sup>	5.750 <sup>def</sup>	7.583 <sup>b</sup>
	R1P1V1	2.833 <sup>ef</sup>	6.270 <sup>f</sup>	8.167 <sup>hi</sup>	9.917 <sup>de</sup>
II	R0P0V2	1.750 <sup>cd</sup>	1.833 <sup>ab</sup>	5.167 <sup>cde</sup>	5.750 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V2	3.333 <sup>f</sup>	5.417 <sup>ef</sup>	8.833 <sup>i</sup>	9.500 <sup>d</sup>
	R1P0V2	2.167 <sup>de</sup>	4.583 <sup>de</sup>	5.917 <sup>def</sup>	7.417 <sup>bc</sup>
	R1P1V2	4.917 <sup>g</sup>	8.250 <sup>h</sup>	11.083 <sup>j</sup>	14.083 <sup>f</sup>
	R0P0V1	1.167 <sup>abc</sup>	2.00 <sup>abc</sup>	4.333 <sup>bc</sup>	5.083 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V1	2.750 <sup>cd</sup>	3.000 <sup>c</sup>	7.083 <sup>gh</sup>	8.333 <sup>c</sup>
	R1P0V1	1.650 <sup>cd</sup>	4.167 <sup>d</sup>	6.00e <sup>fg</sup>	6.917 <sup>b</sup>
	R1P1V1	3.583 <sup>f</sup>	7.333 <sup>g</sup>	9.167 <sup>i</sup>	10.417 <sup>de</sup>
	C.V (%)	22.9	19.7	12.0	9.0
	LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	1.0753	1.2274	1.2603	1.1889

Legend: \*Means followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different from each other at ( $P<0.05$ ) level of significance. R0P0V2- *Rhizobium* 0g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R0P1V2 - *Rhizobium* 0g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x KS20, R1P0V2 - *Rhizobium* 100g/ha phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20 , R1P1V2 - *Rhizobium* 100g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x KS20, R0P0V1, *Rhizobium* 0g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20 , R0P1V1 -*Rhizobium* 0g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x N26, R1P0V1(N26)-*Rhizobium* 100g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x N26, *Rhizobium* 100g/ha X Phosphate rock 30kg/ha x N26, C.V- Coefficient of Variations, LSD- Least Significant Difference

**Table 4. Means of Shoot root dry weight and total dry biomass, number of pods and grain yields of KS20 and N26 green grams varieties under different treatments**

Seasons	Treatments	Shoot dry biomass g plant <sup>-1</sup>	Root dry biomass g plant <sup>-1</sup>	Total Dry Biomass g plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
I	R0P0V2	15.87 <sup>*a</sup>	0.965 <sup>a</sup>	94.2 <sup>b</sup>	31.17 <sup>a</sup>	529 <sup>b</sup>
	R0P1V2	41.00 <sup>c</sup>	4.280 <sup>de</sup>	122.2 <sup>d</sup>	67.50 <sup>bcd</sup>	1472 <sup>gh</sup>
	R1P0V2	42.18 <sup>c</sup>	3.780 <sup>bcd</sup>	135.3 <sup>fg</sup>	66.33 <sup>cd</sup>	1404 <sup>fg</sup>
	R1P1V2	49.91 <sup>de</sup>	6.560 <sup>h</sup>	145.5 <sup>ij</sup>	83.25 <sup>g</sup>	2123 <sup>j</sup>
	R0P0V1	14.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.806 <sup>a</sup>	89.0 <sup>a</sup>	31.67 <sup>a</sup>	370 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V1	29.01 <sup>b</sup>	3.482 <sup>bc</sup>	115.7 <sup>c</sup>	66.50 <sup>b</sup>	1230 <sup>d</sup>
	R1P0V1	41.23 <sup>c</sup>	3.966 <sup>cde</sup>	131.3 <sup>e</sup>	66.17 <sup>bc</sup>	1143 <sup>c</sup>
	R1P1V1	46.78 <sup>d</sup>	5.189 <sup>g</sup>	142.3 <sup>h</sup>	72.67 <sup>ef</sup>	1843 <sup>i</sup>
II	R0P0V2	15.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.937 <sup>a</sup>	94.0 <sup>b</sup>	32.25 <sup>a</sup>	487 <sup>b</sup>
	R0P1V2	39.43 <sup>c</sup>	4.997 <sup>fg</sup>	126.4 <sup>e</sup>	71.00 <sup>e</sup>	1514 <sup>h</sup>
	R1P0V2	40.70 <sup>c</sup>	3.656 <sup>bc</sup>	137.4 <sup>g</sup>	67.27 <sup>cd</sup>	1446 <sup>gh</sup>
	R1P1V2	52.01 <sup>e</sup>	7.607 <sup>i</sup>	146.4 <sup>j</sup>	84.42 <sup>g</sup>	2158 <sup>j</sup>
	R0P0V1	15.15 <sup>a</sup>	0.757 <sup>a</sup>	84.8 <sup>a</sup>	30.83 <sup>a</sup>	325 <sup>a</sup>
	R0P1V1	33.08 <sup>b</sup>	4.465 <sup>ef</sup>	122.3 <sup>d</sup>	68.50 <sup>d</sup>	1338 <sup>ef</sup>
	R1P0V1	42.25 <sup>c</sup>	3.213 <sup>b</sup>	135.1 <sup>fg</sup>	65.67 <sup>bc</sup>	1284 <sup>de</sup>
	R1P1V1	47.87 <sup>de</sup>	7.009 <sup>h</sup>	143.01 <sup>h</sup>	73.75 <sup>f</sup>	1900 <sup>i</sup>
	C.V (%)	8.3	10.4	1.5	2.3	6.3
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	4.768	0.6374	3.087	2.253	129.37	

Legend: \*Means followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different from each other at (P<0.05) level of significance. R0P0V2- Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R0P1V2 - Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x KS20, R1P0V2 - Rhizobium 100g/ha phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R1P1V2 - Rhizobium 100g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x KS20, R0P0V1, Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x KS20, R0P1V1 -Rhizobium 0g/ha X phosphate rock 30kg/ha x N26, R1P0V1(N26)-Rhizobium 100g/ha X phosphate rock 0kg/ha x N26, Rhizobium 100g/ha X Phosphate rock 30kg/ha x N26, C.V- Coefficient of Variations, LSD- Least Significant Difference

### 3.2.2 Influence of *Rhizobium* and rock phosphate fertilizer on number of pods of KS20 and N26 green-gram varieties

Number of Pods per plant of KS20 and N26 were significantly (P<0.05) influenced by combined application of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock. However, R1P1V2 treatment recorded significantly (P<0.05) more number of pods namely 83.25 and 84.41 pods per plant compared to R1P1V1 treatment with 72.67 and 73.75 pods plant<sup>-1</sup> for the first and second seasons, respectively (Table 4). This increase in number of pods can be attributed to increased nutrient uptake especially P which promoted pod development. In addition, the differences in morphology of KS20 and N26 varieties might be due the variation in nutrient uptake and moisture from the soil contributing to the differences in the number of pods. This was in agreement with [44], who reported that P application with *Rhizobium* significantly increased pod number in cowpea. Contrasting results about potential negative response on the number of pods from inoculation in chick pea [45].

Similarly, application of phosphate rock alone increased pod numbers per plant which were significantly (P<0.05) higher in R0P1V2 (67.50 and 71.0 pods per plant) over R0P1V1 treatment with 66.5 and 68.50 pods per plant. This might be due to adequate supply of phosphorus which in turn increased the carboxylation efficiency and increased the ribulose-1-5-diphosphate carboxylase activity, which results in increased photosynthetic rate, growth and yield [46]. These results coincide with [47] who demonstrated that, the number of pods and pod weight significantly increased by phosphorus application as rock phosphate. *Rhizobium* inoculation alone showed insignificant difference in number of pods between R1P0V2 and R1P0V1 for both seasons (Table 4). Inoculated soybean produced more pods per plant than uninoculated treatments [48]. These results were similar with reports of [49] and Bhuiyan [50], who concluded that there was a significant increase of pod number of Mung bean and soybean by *BradyRhizobium* in Ghana. Treatments without rock phosphate or *Rhizobium* recorded lowest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> which appeared to be small in size. This might be due to low soil nutrient uptake which led to



poor growth and development of green gram varieties.

### 3.2.3 Influence of *Rhizobium* and rock phosphate fertilizer on grain yield $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ of KS20 and N26 green gram varieties

There was an interactive effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) of phosphate rock and *Rhizobium* inoculation on grain yield of green grams. Significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher grain yield are recorded in R1P1V2 treatment (2122.68 and 2158.26  $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ ) over R1P1V1 treatment which registered yield of 1842.83 and 1899  $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$  for the first and second season, respectively (Table 4). The higher grain-yield in R1P1V2 treatment can be attributed to the improved N and P use efficiency and uptake which in turn promoted growth and development of yield components such as number of Pods and yield. In addition, combined application of P and *Rhizobium* inoculation increased nitrogenase activity, growth, and grain yield of cowpea as well as improved soil fertility [51]. Significant yield variations among soybean varieties with *Rhizobium* inoculation and phosphorous fertilizer were observed [52]. Nevertheless, the results of this study are in contrast with the findings of [53], who reported that grain yield in soybean was not significantly influenced by P application. Phosphate rock application recorded significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher grain yield in treatment R0P1V2 (1472 and 1514  $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ ) over R0P1V1 respectively for the two seasons (Table 4). This may be due to the positive P fertilizer application on metabolic activity resulting in surface area for high dry matter production and therefore giving rise to high yield [53,54].

Furthermore, *Rhizobium* inoculation recorded significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher grain yield in treatment R1P0V2 (1404 and 1446  $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ ). Inoculation of soybean increases nitrogen fixation potential and other growth factors which lead to increased yield [55]. Treatment without phosphate rock application and *Rhizobium* inoculation recorded least grain yield which are significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different with R0P0V2 recording slightly higher grain yield of (529 and 487  $\text{Kg ha}^{-1}$ ) respectively over R0P0V1 (Table 4). The decreases in grain yield have resulted due to lack of specific *Rhizobia* nodulating green gram and low P levels in the study area [56]. Hence, suggesting for inoculation and P application in the study area. These plots are much affected with pests and diseases thereby contributing to poor growth and yield. The inoculated plots were not much affected with diseases and pests since

nitrogen-fixing *Rhizobium* triggers enzyme-mediated induced resistance reactions [57] which might have led to production of defensive compounds against diseases and pests [58].

## 4. CONCLUSION

Growth and yield attributes of KS20 and N26 green gram varieties are greatly influenced with the combined application of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock when compared to other treatments. Increase in plant height, number of branches, leaves, dry weights, number of pods and total grain yield per hectare were recorded with combined application of *Rhizobium* 100g  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  and 30 kg P  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  phosphate rock under variety KS20. It may be due to increased nutrient use efficiency of *Rhizobium* and phosphate rock application compared to KS20. Hence, KS20 was superior to N26 in growth and yield components. There is need in selection of efficient green gram variety that is responsive to inoculant *Rhizobia* strain(s) form the basis of enhanced BNF in green-grams. Therefore, combined application of *Rhizobium* application at the rate of 100g  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  and 30 kg P  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  phosphate rock with KS20 variety will lead to increased productivity of green gram Chuka area Tharaka- Nithi County.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Special thanks to the Directorate of Research, Extension and Publication of Chuka University for partially financing this research. I greatly appreciate Mr. Titus Cheruiyot for his enthusiastic help in field activities and data collection.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Shaheen S, Harun N, Khan F, Hussain RA, Ramzan S, Rani S, et al. Comparative nutritional analysis between *Vigna radiata* and *Vigna Mugo*. African Journal Biotechnology. 2012;25(11):6694-6702.
2. Khattack GSS, Zamir R, Muhammad T, Shah SA. Agro-climatic conditions for green-grams. Agriculture Journal. 2012; 2(4):741-763
3. Malik AK, Hassan, A Waheed, G Qadir, Asghar R. Interactive effects of irrigation and phosphorous on green-gram (*Vigna radiata*). 2006;38(4):119-1126.

4. Smykal P, Coyne CJ, Ambrose MJ, Maxted N, Schaefer H, Blair MW and Berger J. Major production areas of green-grams. 2015;1(2):23-34.
5. Pandiyan M, Senthil N, Ramamoothi N and Ganesh SK. Present status and future perspective Glycine and *Vigna* in India. In: N Tomooka, DA Vaughan. 2011;4(10):53-60
6. Hoorman J, Islam R, sundermeier A. Sustainable crop rotations with green-grams cover crops. 2009;1(2):121-132.
7. Rashid M. Response of lentils to *Rhizobium* inoculation. Genetic diversity of rhizobia nodulating lentils legumes. Review research. 2013;26(3):99-112.
8. Danga BO, Ouma JP, Wakindiki IIC, Bartal A. Chickpea-wheat rotation for higher production in a humid tropical region. Agronomy Journal. 2010;102:363-371.
9. Schulz TJ, Thelen KD. Soybean seed inoculant and fungicidal seed treatment effects on soybean. Crop Science. 2008; 48:1975–1983.
10. Plaxton WC. Plant response to stress, biochemical adaptations to phosphate deficiency. In: R. Goodman (ed). Encyclopedia of plant and crop science. Marcel Dekker, New York. 2004;10:976-980.
11. Peoples M, Brockwell J, Herridge D, Rochester I, Alves B, Urquiaga S, et al. The contributions of nitrogen-fixing crop legumes to the productivity of a gricultural system. 2009;48(1-3):1-17.
12. SITA-Kenya pulse production booklet. Pulse production in Kenya. 2014;2019:24 – 67  
Available:<http://www.Mkulima.go.ke/docs/pdf>.
13. Botha WJ, Baftha JB, Bloem JF, Habis JH, Law IJ. Effect of soil *BradyRhizobia* on the success of soybean inoculant strain CB 1809. Microbiology Research. 2017;159: 219-231.
14. Giller KE. Nitrogen fixation in tropical cropping systems. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. 2011;5:258 – 297.
15. Farooq FT, Vessey JK. Diversity of *BradyRhizobium japonicum* within soybean growing regions of the north-eastern Great Plains of North America. Symbiosis. 2009; 48:131-142.
16. FAO. Use of phosphate rocks for sustainable agriculture. FAO/IAEA, Rome. 2014;4(9):172-201.
17. Yakubu H, Kwari J, Sandabe M. Effects of phosphorous fertilizer on nitrogen fixation by some grain legume varieties in Sudano-Sahelian zone of North Eastern Nigeria. Nigerian journal of Basic and Applied sciences. 2010;18(1):44-49.
18. Jaetzold R, Schmidt H, Hornetz B and Shisanya C. Farm management handbooks of Kenya, Vol. II: Natural conditions and farm management information, Part C East Kenya, subpart ci eastern province. Nairobi Kenya, Ministry of Agriculture. 2007;12:105-112.
19. Jaetzold R, Hornetz B and Shisanya C. Farm management handbook of Kenya, natural conditions and farm management information, Part C Eastern Kenya, Subpart CI Eastern province. Nairobi Kenya, Ministry of Agriculture. 2013;2:235-254.
20. NITHI CG. Retrieved April 20TH, 2019, From County Government of Tharaka Nithi. 2013;2:221
21. Miller R, Kaiser J, Ogle DG. Technical note legume inoculation, USD NRCS Bosie, Idaho. 2010;26:207-226
22. Brito. The co-inoculation of *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* increases the early Nodulation and development of common beans. Journal of soil science and plant nutrition. 2013;20:860-864
23. Jones JW, Koo J, Naab JB, Bostick WM, Taore S, Graham T. Integrating stochastic models, and in-situ sampling for monitoring soil carbon sequestration. Agriculture. Systems. 2006;94: 52-62.
24. Okalebo JR, Gathua KW, Woomer PC. Laboratory methods of soil and plant analysis: A working manual. TSBF Programme, Nairobi. 2002; 5:36-37.
25. SAS Software version 9.3. Stastical analysis system, instistute, incorporation, Cary; 2012
26. El-Wakeil NE, El-Sebai TN. Role of biofertilizer on faba bean growth, yield, and its effect on bean aphid and the associated predators. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection. 2009;42(6):1144–1153.
27. Richardson AE, Barea JM. Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. Plant and Soil Science. 2009;321:305–339.
28. Talaka A, Rajab YS, Mustapha AB. Growth performance of five varieties of soybean (*Glycine max*. [L.] Merrill) under rainfed condition in Bali local government

- area of Taraba State-Nigeria. 2013;(4):05-08.
29. Bekere and Hailemariam Growth and nodulation response of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) to *BradyRhizobium* inoculation and phosphorus levels under controlled condition in South Western Ethiopia. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2012;7(30):4266-4270.
  30. Tomar VK, Bhandari SC and Tarafdar, JC. Comparison of crop yield, soil microbial c, n and p, n-fixation, nodulation and mycorrhizal infection in inoculated and non-inoculated chickpea crops. II Field Crops Research. 2004;89:39-47.
  31. Balachandran S, Deotale RD, Hatmode CN, Titare PS, Thorat AW. Effect of dual bio-fertilizers and nutrients (NPK) uptake on morphological parameters of green gram J. Soils and crops. 2005;15(2):442-447.
  32. Akpalu MM, Siewobr H, Opong-Sekyere D and Akpalu SE. Phosphorus application and Rhizobia inoculation on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). American Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 2014;4(6):67-85.
  33. Zheng H, Chen L, Han X, Zhao. Effectiveness of phosphorous in improving regional soybean yields under stress; a multivariate regression tree analysis. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010;5(23):3251-3258.
  34. Zia, Chaudhary. Effects of biofertilizers on grain yield and protein content of two soybean (*Glycine max* L.) cultivars. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2007;28(2): 7028-7037.
  35. Zin Z, ulkifli H, Tarmizi AM, Hama-dan AB, Khalid H, Raja ZRO. Rock phosphate fertilizers recommended for young oil pam planted on inland soils information series. 2015;42(7):1511-7871.
  36. Ajayi OC, Akinnifesi FK, Sileshi G, Chakeredza S. Adoption of renewable soil fertility replenishment. Intergrated soil fertility management Journal. 2007;4(9):23-32.
  37. Akande M, Makinde E, Oluwatoyinbo F, Adetunji M. Effects of phosphate rock application on dry matter yield and phosphorous recovery of maize and cowpea grown in sequence. African Journal of Environmental science and Technology. 2010;4(5):22-33.
  38. Asim MM, Aslam NI, Hashmi and Kisan, NS. Mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) in wheat based cropping system: An option for resource conservation under rainfed ecosystem. 2016;37(4):1197-1204
  39. Asuming-Brempong S, Wiafe Y, Aggrey MK. Nodulation of cowpea at different levels of phosphorous in Typic Kandiustalf. Agricultural science Research Journal. 2013;3(12):387-394,
  40. Athar M. Nodulation of native legumes in Pakistani rangelands. Agriculture Concept. Science. 2012;70:49-54.
  41. Aulakh MS, Pasricha NS, Bahl GS. Phosphorus fertilizer response in an irrigated soybean-wheat production system on a subtropical, semiarid soil. Field Crops Research. 2013;80:99-109.
  42. Bala A, Abaidoo R, Woomer P. Interaction of plant roots with the soil and environment. In: Barber, S.A. (ed.) Soil nutrient availability: a mechanistic approach. New York: John Wiley and Sons. 2010;3:133-156.
  43. Jabbar BKA, Saud HM. Effects of phosphorus on biological nitrogen fixation in soybean under irrigation using saline water. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Agriculture and Biology. 2012; 12(1):2246-2256.
  44. Jacob J, Lawlo DW. Dependence of photosynthesis of sunflower and maize leaves on phosphate supply, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate supply, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenease activity and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate pool size. Plant Physiology. 2013;98:801-807.
  45. Amos AO, Ogendo M, Joshua O. Response of common bean to *Rhizobium* inoculation and fertilizer. The journal of Food Technology in Africa. Innovative Institutional Communications. 2011;6(4): 121-125.
  46. Andrade DS, Murphy PJ, Giller KE. The diversity of phaseolus nodulating *Rhizobia* populations is altered by liming of acid soils planted with Phaseolus vulgaris L. in Brazil. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 2012;68:425-434.
  47. Anil K, Moyal QH, Shafil I. Effect of phosphorous fertilization on dry matter accumulation, nodulation, and yield of chick pea. Bangladesh Research Publications Journal. 2008;2(1):217-225
  48. Basu M, Bhadoria PBS, Mahapatra SC. Growth, nitrogen fixation, yield and kernel quality of peanut in response to lime, organic and inorganic fertilizer levels. 2008;99:4675-4683.

49. Batiano A. Fighting poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa: the multiple roles of legumes in integrated soil fertility management. In: Soil fertility management technique Journal. 2011;1(2):36-41.
50. Bekere, Hailemariam. Growth and nodulation response of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) to *BradyRhizobium* inoculation and phosphorus levels under controlled condition in South Western Ethiopia. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2012;7(30):4266 - 4270.
51. Lamptey S, Ahiabor BD, Yeboah S, Akech C, Asamoah. Responses of soybean (*Glycine max*) to rhizobial inoculation and phosphorous Appliation. Journal of Experimental Biology and Agriculture Sciences. 2014;2(1):73-77.
52. Leghari SJ, Buriro M, Jogi Q, Kandhro MN, Leghari AJ. Depletion of phosphorous reserves, a big threat to agriculture: Challenges and opportunities in science. 2016;28:2697 – 2702.
53. Lim TK. *Vigna radiata* In: TKLim, Edible medicinal and non-medicinal plants volume. 2012;2:568-654
54. Lunze L. Integrated soil fertility management in bean-based cropping systems of eastern central and Southern Africa. 2016;5(1):61-96.
55. Mabrouk Y, Hemiss I, Salem IB, Mejri S, Saidi M, Belhadji O. Potential of Rhizobial in improving nitrogen fixationand Yields of Legumes. Symbiosis 107. Available:https://doi.org/10: 2018; 38: 65
56. Maida JHA. Phosphorus status of some Malawi soils. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;8(32):4308-4317.
57. Carsky RJ, Iwuofor ENO. Contribution of soil fertility research and maintenance to improved legume production and productivity in sub-Saharan Africa. In: Proceedings of Regional Legume Workshop, Benin Republic IITA. 2017; 1(5):29 – 38.
58. Napoles MC, Guevara E, Montero F, Rossi A, Ferreira A. Role of *BradyRhizobium japonicum* induced by genistein on soybean stressed by water deficit. Spanish Journal Agricultural Research. 2009;7(3): 665-671.

© 2021 Mbaka et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/66068>