

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

33(21): 34-38, 2021; Article no.IJPSS.75076 ISSN: 2320-7035

Response of Organic Manures, Inorganic Fertilizers and Bio-fertilizers on Qualitative and Quantitative Parameters of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

S. Nantha kumar^{1*}, S. Ramanathan² and M. Paramasivam¹

¹ICAR-Krishi VigyanKendra, Virinjipuram, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University 632104, India. ²Adhiparasakthi Agricultural College, Kalavai 632 506, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2021/v33i2130655 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Dr. Hon H. Ho, State University of New York, USA. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Bruna De Freitas Iwata, Instituto Federal Do Piaui, Brazil. (2) Sri Harini, State University of Malang, Indonesia. Complete Peer review History: <u>https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/75076</u>

Original Research Article

Received 05 August 2021 Accepted 12 October 2021 Published 13 October 2021

ABSTRACT

Aims: To find out the response of combined use of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers of nutrients on quality of small onion Aggregatum cv. Thengaithittu.

Study Design: The experiment was laid out in randomized block design 9 treatment combinations with three replications.

Place and Duration of Study: The experiment was conducted for two seasons at Adhiparasakthi Horticultural College farm situated at 12°15'N and 78° 20'E longitude, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India during the year 2014-2015.

Methodology: The treatments comprised of organic manures, inorganic fertilizer and bio-fertilizers with nine treatments viz., T_1 : NPK (60:60:30 kg/ha.), T_2 : Farm yard manure (FYM) (25t/ha.), T_3 : FYM (25t/ha.) + NPK (60:60:30 kg/ha.), T_4 : FYM (25t/ha.) + Azospirillum (2kg/ha.)+ NPK (30:30:15 kg/ha.), T_5 : FYM (25t/ha.) + Phosphobacteria (2kg/ha.) + NPK (60:30:30 kg/ha.), T_6 : FYM (25t/ha.) + Azospirillum (2kg/ha.) + Phosphobacteria (2kg/ha.) + NPK (30:30:15 kg/ha.), T_7 : FYM (25t/ha.) + Phosphobacteria (2kg/ha.) + NPK (30:30:15 kg/ha.), T_7 : FYM (25t/ha.) + Phosphobacteria (2kg/ha.), T_8 : FYM (25t/ha.) + Azospirillum (2kg/ha.) + gypsum (50kg/ha.), T_8 : FYM (25t/ha.) + Azospirillum (2kg/ha.) + gypsum (50 kg/ha.) + Azospirillum (2kg/ha.) + Phosphobacteria (2kg/ha.) + Gitter (25t/ha.) + Azospirillum (2kg/ha.) + gypsum (50 kg/ha.) + Gitter (25t/ha.) + NPK (30:30:15 kg/ha.) + gypsum (50 kg/ha.) and T_9 : FYM (25 kg/ha.) + gypsum (50 kg/ha.).

Results: The combined application of N, P, K, FYM, Azospirillum, phosphobacteria and gypsum significantly influenced the total soluble solids (15.77B°), ascorbic acid (14.76 mg/100g) and total sugars (14.72%) contents. The combined application of organic manures, inorganic and biofertilizers may be recommended to farmers to get good quality onion.

Keywords: Bio-fertilizers; Inorganic fertilizers; Onion; Organic manures; Quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Onion (Allium cepa L.) is an important bulbous vegetable and cash crop cultivated in India. India occupies the prestigious second largest area in the world and famous for their pungency. This onion crop harvested in India two times (January to May and November to January). Many reports have indicated that onions have a wide range of beneficial properties for human health, such as polyphenols, flavonoids, and antioxidants as well as carbohydrates and sugar [1]. The important inorganic constituent like phosphorus and calcium are rich in Indian onion and used for various culinary purposes [2]. The spicy (flavor) nature of onion is due to the presence of suphur compounds and guercetin compound responsible for colour of the outer skin of onion.

The application fertilizers like farmyard manure helped in the growth and nutrient content in the onion crops and also improves physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil. This enhance the availability nutrients to the plants and nitrogen absorption is more and the synthesize of chlorophylls and carotenoids is also increased [1]. The introduction of various fertilizers (organic, synthetic and bio inoculants) in the onion field had great significant responses towards onion root and also quality of onion. The main objective of this investigation was to study the response of organic manures, inorganic fertilizers and bio-fertilizers on the content of quantitative and qualitative parameters of onion.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

experiment conducted The was at Horticultural Adhiparasakthi College farm situated at 12°15'N and 78° 20'E longitude, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India. The experiment was conducted for two seasons (Rabi and summer) to find out the effect of combined use of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers of nutrients on quality of small onion Aggregatum cv. Thengaithittu. The soil of the experimental field was red loam and clay loam in texture and having pH of 6.1 to 7.0, low amount of, organic matter, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The treatments comprised of organic, inorganic fertilizer and bio-fertilizers with nine treatments (Table 1).

The treatments of organic manure, chemical fertilizers and bio-fertilizers were applied as per treatment in respective plot. FYM was incorporated in the soil after the formation of individual plots according to the treatments. The uniform basal application of potassium was applied at the rate of 30kg/ha. The entire phosphorus as per treatment was applied basally at planting. Nitrogen was applied in two equal split doses as per treatments. The first dose was applied basally at planting followed by top dressing at 30 days after transplanting. The biofertilizers were mixed with FYM of ten times of their volume and incorporated into the soil at the rate of 2 kg/ha. depending upon the treatments. The fertilizers were weighed separately for each treatment and mixedthoroughly with the respective treatments and applied in bands on the side of the ridges of the experimental plots and incorporated in the soil with hand hoe.

The seed of onion variety Thengaithittu was raised in the nursery of 1.5 m length, 1.0 m width and 15 cm height was prepared. The seed rate is 8 kg/ha. The seeds were sown at 1cm depth in the rows and covered with thin layer of sand and then covered with dry grass mulch. The seed bed was watered daily during evening hours. The seedling of 45 days old (uniform size) were transplanted with a spacing of 10 x 15 cm. The seedlings were transplanted and harvesting of onion was done on 90 days after transplanting.

2.1 Quality Analysis

The total soluble solids of bulbs in each treatment were read through automatic hand refractometer and expressed in degree brix. The ascorbic acid content was determined as per AOAC (1970) [3] method and expressed as mg/100 g of fresh sample. The total sugars were estimated following the calorimetric method as per Somogyi (1952) and expressed in percentage. The obtained experiments results

were statistically analyzed for arriving the conclusions [4].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Total Soluble Solids (TSS)

In general, all the chemical constituents studied were increased by organic, inorganic and biofertilizers as compared to control. The combined application of organic, inorganic and biofertilizers were highly effective than other treatments. The data on TSS at harvesting stage under the influence of different levels of N, P, K, FYM, Azospirillum, Phosphobacteria and gypsum for two different seasons are presented in Table 2. In Rabi season, the results showed that different treatments significantly influenced the TSS which ranged from 11.68 to 15.30°B. The maximum content of TSS was recorded in the treatment T_8 (15.30°B), it was on per with treatment T_6 (14.82°B) whereas the lowest in (11.68 °B) treatment T_2 .

In summer season, the TSS of various statistically significant treatments showed differences. The TSS ranged from 10.44 to 14.24°B. Among various treatment, the highest content of TSS was recorded in T₈ (14.24°B) and it was on per with treatment T_6 (13.64°B). The least amount of TSS was obtained in the treatment T_2 (10.44°B). In pooled data, significant differences among various treatments were observed. The highest content of TSS was recorded in T₈ (14.77°B) which was on per with T₆ (14.23°B) and lowest value was obtained in T₂(11.06°B).

3.2 Ascorbic Acid

The data on ascorbic acid at harvesting stage under influence of various treatment levels of organic manure, inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers for rabi and summer seasons are presented in Table 3. The concentration vitamin C in onion is improved by the presence of organic manures which involves oxidize enzyme activity [2]. The ascorbic acid content was significantly influenced by various treatments in rabi and summer seasons. It ranged from 10.63 to 14.76 and 9.34 to 12.80 mg/100g of fresh bulbs for rabi and summer season, respectively. The maximum ascorbic acid content (14.76 and 12.86 mg/100 g) was found with treatment T_8 , while the minimum ascorbic acid content (10.63 and 9.34 mg/100 g) was observed in treatment T₂ for rabi and summer seasons, respectively. Pooled data recorded significant difference among the various treatments. Among them, the highest of ascorbic acid was recorded in T₈ (13.81 mg/100g) which was followed by T_6 (13.30 mg/100g) and least content of ascorbic acid was recorded in T_2 (9.99mg/100g).

3.3 Total Sugars

The data on total sugars at harvesting stage of onion bulb under the influence of organic, inorganicand bio-fertilizers for rabi and summer seasons were presented in Table 4. The overall total sugar content ranged from 11.04 to 14.72 and 9.43 to 12.64% for rabi and summer seasons, respectively. The maximum total sugar (14.72 ad 12.64% for rabi and summer season respectively) was found under treatment T₈ followed by T₆ with the concentration of 14.28 and 12.28% for rabi and summer season, respectively. The minimum total sugar (11.04 and 9.43%) was found under treatment T_2 for their respective seasons. Pooled data recorded significant differences among various treatments with the maximum content of 13.68% in T₈ which was on per with T₆ (13.28%) and lowest content was registered in T₃ with the concentration of 10.23%.

Table 1. Treatment details

	Treatment details
T ₁	NPK (60:60:30 kg/ha.) Control
T_2	Farm yard manure (FYM) (25t/ha.)
T_3	FYM (25t/ha.) + NPK (60:60:30 kg/ha.)
T_4	FYM (25t/ha.) +Azospirillum (2kg/ha.)+ NPK (30:30:15 kg/ha.)
T_5	FYM (25t/ha.) + Phosphobacteria (2kg/ha.) +NPK (60:30:30 kg/ha.)
T_6	FYM (25t/ha.) + Azospirillum (2kg/ha.) + Phosphobacteria (2kg/ha.) +NPK (30:30:15 kg/ha.)
T_7	FYM (25t/ha.)+NPK (60:60:30 kg/ha.) + gypsum (50kg/ha.)
T_8	FYM (25t/ha.) + Azospirillum (2kg/ha.) + Phosphobacteria (2kg/ha.) +NPK (30:30:15 kg/ha.)
	+gypsum (50 kg/ha.)
T ₉	FYM (25 kg/ha) + gypsum (50 kg/ha).

Treatments	Total soluble solids (Brix)			
	Rabi season	Summer season	Pooled data*	
T ₁	12.66	10.64	11.65	
T_2	11.68	10.44	11.06	
T ₃	12.94	11.86	12.40	
T_4	14.33	13.22	13.77	
T_5	13.56	12.08	12.82	
T ₆	14.82	13.64	14.23	
T ₇	12.76	11.28	12.02	
T ₈	15.30	14.24	14.77	
T ₉	12.32	11.12	11.72	
Mean	13.37	12.06	12.72	
SEd	0.51	0.38	0.28	
CD (0.05)	1.05	0.78	0.57	

Table 2. Effect of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers on total soluble solids in small onion

*pooled data of the experiment carried out in rabi and summer season

Table 3. Effect of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers on ascorbic acid content in small onion

Treatments	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)		
	Rabi season	Summer season	Pooled data*
T ₁	11.89	10.14	11.02
T_2	10.63	9.34	9.99
T ₃	12.97	10.68	11.83
T_4	14.06	11.90	12.98
T_5	13.74	12.62	13.18
T_6	14.37	12.22	13.36
T ₇	12.84	10.36	11.60
T ₈	14.76	12.86	13.81
T ₉	10.96	9.73	10.35
Mean	12.91	11.09	12.00
SEd	0.42	0.36	0.27
CD (0.05)	0.85	0.78	0.54

*pooled data of the experiment carried out in rabi and summer season

Table 4. Effect of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers on total sugars in small onion

Treatments	Total sugars (%)		
	Rabi season	Summer season	Pooled data*
T ₁	12.32	10.46	11.39
T ₂	11.04	9.43	10.23
T ₃	12.42	11.72	12.07
T ₄	13.62	12.04	12.83
T_5	13.40	11.82	12.61
T ₆	14.28	12.28	13.28
T ₇	12.64	10.08	11.36
T ₈	14.72	12.64	13.68
T ₉	11.12	10.86	10.99
Mean	12.84	11.26	12.05
SEd	0.42	0.36	0.24
CD (0.05)	0.85	0.72	0.49

*pooled data of the experiment carried out in rabi and summer season

In any vegetables, the quality of the produce determines the market value. Vegetables are agricultural produces with high moisture content,

ascorbic acid, total soluble solids and total sugars would be ideal character for onion. Ethel *el al* [5] recorded highest TSS value after

harvesting fresh onion bulbs applied with FYM and bio-fertilizers compared to control. In the present study, the ascorbic acid, TSS and total sugar increased by the combined application of t/ha.)+ Azospirillum FYM (25 (2kg/ha.)+ phosphobacteria (2 kg/ha.) NPK + (30:30:15kg/ha.) and gypsum (50kg/ha.) in both rabi and summer seasons. The application gypsum and inorganic nutrients play a vital role in the induction of chlorophyll by the production of starch, sugars, oils, fats, vitamins and other vital compounds [6],[7]. Similar finding were reported by [8-10] in onion.

Increase in TSS and total sugars might be due to the increased IAA activity by Azospirillum and phosphobacteria which would have enhanced the sucrose synthetase activity and thereby promoted the carbohydrate content. The higher amount of total sugars might also be attributed to increase in the rate of net photosynthesis. It may be due to the application of N, P, K along with organic and inorganic fertilizers. Similar findings were reported by [11] in cluster beans, and onion [12].

4. CONCLUSION

The study concluded that the total soluble solid (0B), ascorbic acid and total sugar significantly increased with FYM (25t/ha.) + Azospirillum (2kg/ha.) + Phosphobacteria (2kg/ha.) +NPK (30:30:15 kg/ha.) +gypsum (50 kg/ha.).The findings of this study, create awareness the farmers to use organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers to produce good quality of onion.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Bojana Petrovic B, Sekara A, Pokluda R. Biofertilizers enhance quality of onion.Agronomy. 2020;10:1937(1-13).

- Shah KN, Chaudhary IJ, Rana DK, Singh V. Impact assessment of different organic manures on growth, morphology and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cultivar. Asian J. Agric. Res. 2019;13:20-27.
- 3. AOAC. Official method of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC. 1970;121-123.
- 4. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. 1967;18-20.
- Ethel N, Singh AK, Singh VB. Effect of organic manure and biofertilizer on growth, yield and quality of onion. Envt. Ecol. 2009; 27(1):313-315.
- 6. Ballabh K, Rana D. Response of micronutrients on qualitative and quantitative parameters of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Prog. Horti. 2012;44(1):40-46.
- Manna D. Growth, yield and bulb quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in response to foliar application of boron and zinc. SAARC J. Agric. 2013;11(1):149-153.
- Sindhu S, Tiwari RS. Effect of micronutriens on yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Pusa red. Prog. Horti. 1993;25:176-180.
- 9. Sindhu S, Tiwari RS. Effect of micronutriens on growth and yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) variety Pusa red. Recent. Horti. 1995;2:70-77.
- Trivedi A, Dhumal K. Effect of soil and foliar applications of zinc and iron on the yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Bangladesh J. Agric. Res. 2013;38(1):41-48.
- Pandiaraj UC, Selvaraj U. Response of nitrogen on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in Maiduguri region of Borno State, Nigeria. Res. Devpt. Reptr. 1989;8(1):5-9.
- Mahabir S. Response of growth regulators on bulb yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L). Int. J.Agric. Sci. 2006;(2(2):589-590.

© 2021 kumar et al.; sThis is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/75076