

The Effect of Oral Motor Therapy on Feeding Difficulties and Eating Behaviors in Younger ASD Children

Heena Khan^{1*}, Sheeba Parveen² and Amitabh Dwivedi³

¹Ekam Children Therapy Clinic, India.

²Jamia Hamdard, India.

³Jaipur Occupational Therapy College, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJPR/2021/v7i230210

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Izzet Yavuz, University of Dicle, Turkey.

Reviewers:

(1) Ne Win, University of Public Health, Myanmar.

(2) Kaiser Haroon, National Institute of Neurosciences and Hospital, Bangladesh.

Complete Peer review History: <https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/75532>

Original Research Article

Received 02 August 2021

Accepted 11 October 2021

Published 16 October 2021

ABSTRACT

Background: A variety of feeding difficulties like aversion of food, food selectivity, complete food refusal are the most common problems reported by the Parents of ASD children. Every parent of ASD children struggles to manage their child's feeding routine as well as undesirable mealtime behaviours, which can cause parental concern and poor family dynamics.

Aim: 1) The aim of this study is to analyze the effect of dedicated oral motor work in children with ASD who presented with feeding difficulty. 2) To determine parental concerns related to feeding behavior shown by their child and assess whether an additional home program in parallel with oral motor work by an Occupational Therapist would provide any benefits.

Methods: This is a pre and post study where a total number of 18 ASD children at the age of 2-4 year old were participated and selected through BPFAS, out of which 15 copies were considered to be concerned with the oral motor and feeding difficulties of the child. Therefore, 15 participants who were facing feeding difficulties with their children were recruited. Concerned Participants were further assessed by Occupational therapist with the help of 'The com deall Oro-motor assessment scale for toddlers'.

Results: According to The Com Deall Oral-motor assessment scale, some changes observed in the oral motor skills and When parental concern is considered via home plan including mealtime

*Corresponding author: Email: heenakhanbot@gmail.com;

strategies with oral motor activities, the post intervention result showed decrease in Total problem score as well as in Total frequency score.

Conclusion: The study concluded that continuous structured direct oral motor work as well as addressing parental concerns via home programs is helpful for the ASD children and gives better understanding of feeding difficulties to their parents. Also, some changes have been seen in oral motor skills through continuous practice of oral motor activities by the therapist.

Keywords: Feeding; oral motor work; ASD; behavioural feeding assessment scale (BPFAS); parental concerns.

ABBREVIATIONS

ASD - Autism Spectrum Disorders
JM - Jaw Movement
TM - Tongue Movement
LM - Lip Movement
S - Speech
TPS - Total Problem Score
TFS - Total Frequency Score
CPS - Children Problem Score
CFS - Children Frequency Score
PPS - Parent Problem Score
PFS - Parent Frequency Score

1. INTRODUCTION

As per the previous studies, Oral motor skills develop within a system that changes rapidly both in structural growth and neurological control during the first three years of life [1,2]. During this period, children engage in a great variety of oral motor experiences as they satisfy their basic needs for food and comfort and begin to explore their world. Developmentally, a feeding problem exists when a child is “stuck” in their feeding pattern and cannot progress [3,4,5] with relating to Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) which is characterized by social communication deficits and repetitive and restrictive behaviors [6]. Many children with ASD also have co-occurring behavioral concerns. For example, an estimated 46–89% of these children exhibit problematic feeding and eating habits [7,8] compared with about 13–32% of typically developing children [9]. The most common feeding problems in children with ASD include food selectivity based on type, texture or presentation, and disruptive mealtime behaviors [7,8], and many presents with both. These problems often emerge in toddler and preschool years before ASD diagnosis [10] and remain in adolescence [11]. Therefore, this study targeted the toddler population in children with ASD who presented feeding difficulties according to their parents.

Including parents as the primary agent to address feeding problems seems most appropriate given the central role that parents play in all areas of a young child’s life. Hence, the level of intensity of intervention can be naturally increased if parents play the role of change agent [12]. Furthermore, as a child’s disruptive mealtime behaviors, selective eating, and rigidity have been shown to be correlated with stress and family burden [13], teaching parents strategies to improve eating and decrease disruptive mealtimes behaviors could result in decreased parental stress as previously suggested [14,15]. In a study of disruptive behaviors in children with ASD, parent training diminished parental stress and improved parental sense of competence [5].

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Research Hypothesis 1

Research Hypothesis 1- oral motor work reduces feeding difficulties in children with ASD and improves the quality of oral motor skills.

Null Hypothesis 1- oral motor work does not have any effect on feeding difficulties or quality of oral motor skills.

Research Hypothesis 2- If parents are involved and their concerns are address then there will be significant changes in the child's eating behaviours.

Null Hypothesis 2- If parental concerns are involved and addressed even then there will be no changes in their child’s eating behaviors.

2.2 Aim and Objective of the Study

In this study, we aim to analyze the effect of dedicated oral motor work in children with ASD who presented with feeding difficulty.

To determine parental concerns related to feeding behavior shown by their child and assess whether an additional home program in parallel with oral motor work by an Occupational Therapist would provide any benefits.

2.3 Sample Size

Total 18 participants have been assessed, out of which 15 participants had been selected for the study based on inclusion and exclusion criteria [7].

2.3.1 Inclusion criteria

- Age - 2 to 4 Years Old.
- 15 children with Autism Spectrum disorder.
- Both girls and boys are considered for this study.
- Include those children who show oral motor and feeding difficulty.

2.3.2 Exclusion criteria

- Children who are not able to respond to verbal instructions.
- Parents who do not show any feeding concerns.
- Children below 2 years and more than 4 years.
- Children who have hearing and vision impairment.
- Children who have been diagnosed with genetic or chromosomal disorder.

2.4 Research Design

Pre and Post study design

2.5 Procedure

- Permission was taken from the ethical committee of the university.
- Written consent will be taken from the parents/guardians of the selected and Children will be assessed by the therapist through "The Com DEALL Oro motor assessment" scale [16].
- Parents' caregivers will be given the assessment forms "Behavioral Pediatric Feeding Assessment Scale" to fill.
- Parents of the participants were handed over certain feeding and mealtime strategies to be incorporated as a home program.

- Participants were given oral motor work as per the treatment protocol twice a week for one and a half months. This will be administered during their intervention sessions by the respective therapist either in 'one on one', dyadic session or group format.
- After 1.5 months, children were reassessed by the therapist through "The Com DEALL Oro motor assessment."
- Again, Parents' caregivers were given the assessment forms "behavioral pediatric feeding assessment scale" to fill up again.
- Scores were statistically analyzed and interpreted for pre and post assessment forms.

2.6 Ethical Clearance

- Proposal was passed through the dissertation committee of Jaipur Occupational Therapy College and the ethical committee of Maharaj Vinayak Global University before its implementation.
- Participants and their spouses/caregivers were informed about the study objectives, method of testing, benefits of study and risks involved in testing, if any,
- No harm was caused to participants involved.
- No interference was done in the Participants medical treatment as well as rehabilitation.
- Participants' details were maintained confidential.
- Data thus collected was used only for research purposes.

2.7 Intervention


Patients participated in 20-45 minutes of oral motor therapy sessions with an Occupational Therapist twice a week for 1.5 months (10 sessions in total). Oral stimulation (tapping around the mouth and massages intra & extra orally) was performed manually when mouth muscle control was insufficient. To improve oral awareness and reduce sensitivity, the vibratory brush is introduced and given passively in a play way by singing rhymes, visual toys, etc. To improve oral muscle strength, a chew tube has been introduced and given by the therapist while sitting in one place. To improve oral muscle control, the texture of food was gradually thickened. Feeding and mealtime strategies were given to the parent/caregiver, as parents are part of this treatment.

3. RESULTS

Table 1. Comparison of JAW MOVEMENT (JM) scores between pre and post – Paired t-test (N = 15)

JM	Mean	SD	t -value
Pre-Score	4.53	1.885	.10.333**
Post-Score	8.33	1.839	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 1. Comparison of JM scores between pre and post – Paired t-test

According to “The Com DEALL Oro motor assessment Scale”, the scores are inverse proportional to the oral motor difficulty in children with ASD.


standard deviation of 1.885, whereas Post-test results shows an increase in mean of 8.33 and standard deviation of 1.839. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

Therefore, Pre-test results of Jaw movement of oral motor scale shows a mean 4.53 and

Table 2. Comparison of TONGUE MOVEMENT scores between pre and post – Paired t-test (N = 15)

TM	Mean	SD	t-value
Pre-Score	5.13	1.393	.9.886**
Post-Score	9.53	1.627	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 2. Comparison of TM scores between pre and post– Paired t-test

According to “The Com DEALL Oro motor assessment Scale”, the scores are inversely proportional to the oral motor difficulty in children with ASD.


standard deviation of 1.393, whereas Post test results showed an increase in mean of 9.53 and standard deviation of 1.627. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

Therefore, Pre test results of Tongue movement of oral motor scale showed a mean 5.13 and

Table 3. Comparison of LIP MOVEMENT (LM) scores between pre and post – Paired t-test (N = 15)

LM	Mean	SD	t-value
Pre-Score	7.07	4.818	.6271**
Post-Score	10.40	4.137	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 3. Comparison of LM scores between pre and post – Paired t-test


According to “The Com DEALL Oro motor assessment Scale”, the scores are inversely proportional to the oral motor difficulty in children with ASD.

Therefore, Pre test results of Lip movement of oral motor scale showed a mean 7.07 and standard deviation of 4.818, whereas Post test results showed an increase in mean of 10.40 and standard deviation of 4.137. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

Table 4. Comparison of SPEECH (S) scores between pre and post– Paired t-test (N = 15)

S	Mean	SD	t-value
Pre-Score	2.20	2.933	.3850**
Post-Score	3.40	3.680	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 4. Comparison of SPEECH (S) scores between pre and post– Paired t-test


According to “The Com DEALL Oro motor assessment Scale”, the scores are inversely proportional to the oral motor difficulty in children with ASD.

deviation of 2.933, whereas Post test results shows increase in mean of 3.40 and standard deviation of 3.680. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

Therefore, Pre test results of Speech of oral motor scale shows a mean 2.20 and standard

Table 5. Comparison of pre and post between Jaw movement, Tongue movement, Lip movement and Speech – Paired t-test (N = 15)

Paired T- Test	Comparison							
	JM		TM		LM		S	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Mean	4.53	8.33	5.13	9.53	7.07	4.818	2.2	2.933
S.D	1.885	1.839	1.393	1.627	10.4	4.137	3.4	3.68
t- value	10.333**		9.886**		6.271**		3.850**	
Sig (2-tailed)	0.01		0.01		0.01		0.01	
Result	Significant		Significant		Significant		Significant	



Graph 5. Comparison of the mean Pre and Post score of Jaw, Tongue, Lip and Speech-Paired t-test


Mean post results are Jaw (8.33), Tongue (9.53), Lip (4.818) and Speech (3.40). These results are significant at <0.01 level. Most improvements

have been shown in Tongue and Jaw movement as compared to other components.

Table 6. Comparison of TFS scores between pre and post – Paired t-test (N = 15)

TFS	Mean	SD	t-value
Pre-Score	85.67	16.141	4.234**
Post-Score	71.13	17.146	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 6. Comparison of TFS scores between pre and post – Paired t-test


According to BPFAS, a higher score is directly proportional to the level of difficulty parents are facing with their child.

Therefore, Pre test results of Total frequency score of BPFAS shows a mean 85.67 and standard deviation of 16.141, whereas Post test results shows decrease in mean of 71.13 and standard deviation of 17.146. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

Table 7. Comparison of TPS scores between pre and post- paired t test

TPS	Mean	SD	t-value
Pre-Score	11.13	9.657	5.022**
Post-Score	8.00	8.409	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 7. Comparison of TPS scores between pre and post- paired t test

According to BPFAS, a higher score is directly proportional to the level of difficulty parents are facing with their child.


standard deviation of 9.657, whereas Post test results show a decrease in mean of 8.00 and standard deviation of 8.409. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

Therefore, Pre test results of the Total Problem score of BPFAS shows a mean 11.13 and

Table 8. Comparison of CFS scores between pre and post– paired t-test (N = 15)

CFS	Mean	SD	t-value
Pre-Score	66.67	8.902	4.862**
Post-Score	51.60	12.217	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 8. Comparison of CFS scores between pre and post– Paired t-test


According to BPFAS, a higher score is directly proportional to the level of difficulty parents are facing with their child.

Therefore, Pre test results of Children frequency score of BPFAS shows a mean 66.67 and standard deviation of 8.902, whereas Post test results shows decrease in mean of 51.60 and standard deviation of 12.217. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

Table 9. Comparison of CPS Scores between pre and post – Paired t-test (N = 15)

CPS	Mean	SD	t-value
Pre-Score	7.60	6.522	4.281**
Post-Score	5.53	6.093	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 9. Comparison of CPS Scores between pre and post – Paired t-test

According to BPFAS, a higher score is directly proportional to the level of difficulty parents are facing with their child.


standard deviation of 6.522, whereas Post test results shows decrease in mean of 5.53 and standard deviation of 6.093. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

Therefore, Pre test results of Children Problem score of BPFAS shows a mean 7.60 and

Table 10. Comparison of PFS Scores between pre and post – Paired t-test (N = 15)

PFS	Mean	SD	t-value
Pre-Score	27.27	5.663	6.140**
Post-Score	21.40	7.347	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 10. Comparison of PFS between pre and post – Paired t-test


According to BPFAS, a higher score is directly proportional to the level of difficulty parents are facing with their child.

Therefore, Pre test results of the Parent frequency score of BPFAS shows a mean 27.27 and standard deviation of 5.663, whereas Post test results shows decrease in mean of 21.40 and standard deviation of 7.347. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

Table 11. Comparison of PPS Scores between pre and post– Paired t-test (N = 15)

PPS	Mean	SD	t-value
Pre-Score	3.33	3.416	4.090**
Post-Score	2.40	2.923	

**Significant at .01 levels.



Graph 11. Comparison of PPS Scores between pre and post– Paired t-test

According to BPFAS, a higher score is directly proportional to the level of difficulty parents are facing with their child.

Therefore, Pre test results of the Parent problem score of BPFAS shows a mean 3.33 and standard deviation of 3.416, whereas Post test results show a decrease in mean of 2.40 and standard deviation of 2.923. So, the result is significant at <0.01 level.

4. DISCUSSION

This study contributes to our understanding of analysing the effect of dedicated oral motor work in children with ASD who presented with feeding difficulty and to determine parental concerns related to feeding behaviour shown by their child and assess whether an additional home program in parallel with oral motor work by an Occupational Therapist would provide any benefits. The result of the present study suggests that there is improvement observed in the level of difficulty shown by the ASD children. Total of 15 ASD children participated in the study along with their parents because feeding was an activity which was carried out by the parents and consent forms were taken. BPFAS were given to 18 participants, out of which 15 copies were considered to be concerned with the oral motor and feeding difficulties of the child. As a result, 15 participants who were facing feeding difficulties with their child were recruited and concerned Participants were further assessed by Occupational therapist with the help of 'The com deall Oro-motor assessment scale for toddlers'. According to The Com Deall Oral-motor assessment scale, the scores are inversely proportional to the oral motor difficulty in children with ASD. With continuous oral motor therapy for 6 weeks, there were some changes observed in the oral motor skills by the mean post result are Jaw (8.33), Tongue (9.53), Lip (4.818) and Speech (3.40). These results are significant at <0.01 level. Most of the improvements had been shown in Tongue and Jaw movement as compared to other components. Although Speech is not a part of this study but through oral motor therapy some improvement has been witnessed in the speech component. Therefore, the result showed that the oral motor work reduces the feeding difficulties and some improvement had been seen in oral motor skills through continuous practise of oral motor activities by the therapist.. Hence, the research hypothesis 1 is proved by rejecting the null hypothesis 1.

According to BPFAS, a higher score is directly proportional to the level of difficulty parents are facing with their child. When parental concern is considered via home plan including mealtime strategies and oral motor activities, the post intervention result showed decrease in Total problem score by mean of 8.00 and standard deviation of 8.409 as well as in Total frequency score by mean of 71.13 and standard deviation of 17.146. These results are significant at <0.01 level. Therefore, the result shows that addressing parental concern via home program intervention were significant ($p<0.01$) and reduced the difficulty level as reported by the parents. Hence, the research hypothesis 2 is proved by rejecting the null hypothesis 2. This study is supported by Leila Cherif, Jaweher baudadous et.al, a comparison was made between 57 children with autism spectrum disorders and 57 control groups regarding the feeding problems. Our findings suggest that feeding problems are more common in children with autism. Clinical implications trigger the need for clinicians to provide the necessary assessment and treatment [17]. Similarly, Cynthia R Johnson, Kimberly Brown et. al, done the research on parent training for feeding problems in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: Initial Randomized Trial. This trial provides evidence for feasibility, satisfaction, and fidelity of implementation of PT-F for feeding problems in young children with ASD. Feeding outcomes also appeared favorable and lent support for conducting a larger efficacy trial [18].

Also, Pujitha Sriram Padmanabhan et al did the research on 'Addressing mealtime behaviours of children with autism spectrum disorders in schools: a qualitative study with educators in Mumbai, India'. In-depth interviews were conducted with 13 educators of various special schools across Mumbai. They described their experiences with 3–11year-old children with a diagnosis of ASD. Four themes emerged from this study: reasons for disruptive mealtime behaviors, using mealtimes as opportunities for indirect learning, strategies used to avoid disruptive mealtime behaviors, and school policies regarding food and nutrition. The presence of sensory stressors, changes in break-time schedules, and inability to communicate hunger were identified as main reasons for disruptive mealtime behaviors in the classroom. They have discussed the various strategies to tackle these behaviors. Most educators reported that their school followed a strict 'no junk-food' policy. The initiatives taken at

the school-level are valuable as they provide a different approach and diverse strategies that may work to improve the food intake and nutrition of children with ASD [19]. Therefore, on the basis of analysis it was found that continuous structured direct oral motor work as well as addressing parental concerns via home programs is helpful for the ASD children and gives better understanding of feeding difficulties to their parents.

5. CONCLUSION

This study concluded that oral motor therapy and addressing parental concern via home program leads to significant changes in ASD children who have feeding difficulties and eating behaviors were significant at the level of <0.01 . There were significant changes observed in the oral motor skills and most of the improvements had been shown in Tongue and Jaw movement as compared to other components. Although Speech is not a part of this study, some improvement has been witnessed in the speech component as well. When parental concern is addressed via home programs including mealtime strategies and oral motor activities, the post intervention result showed decrease in Total problem score and Total frequency score. Hence, the "Experimental hypothesis – the effect of Oral motor therapy in feeding difficulties and eating behavior in younger ASD children" is accepted.

6. LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

- The study should be conducted in a larger population for better results.
- Different scales can be used to have a better understanding of the assessment, the com deal oro-motor assessment scale have oro-motor skills components but the sensory component is not there.
- The Behavioural feeding assessment scale is too lengthy, another scale with less questions can be used for better understanding of parental concern.

CONSENT

As per international standard, parental written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Permission was taken from the dissertation committee of Jaipur Occupational Therapy College and the Ethical Committee of Maharaj Vinayak Global University.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Arvedson JC, Lefton-Greif MA. Anatomy, physiology and development of feeding. *Seminars in Speech and Language*. 1996; 17:261-268.
2. Bosma JF. Development of feeding. *Clinical Nutrition*. 1986;5:210-218.
3. Eicher PS. Feeding. In M.L. Batshaw (Ed.), *Children with disabilities*. Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing Co. 2002; 549-566.
4. Kerwin MLE. Pediatric Feeding Disorders. *The Behavior Analyst Today*. 2003;4:160-174.
Available:<http://www.behavior-analyst-online.org/NEWBAT/Frameless%20BAT/BAT-4.2-7-23-03-C.PDF>
5. Palmer S, Horn S. Feeding problems in children. In S. Palmer & S. Ekvall (Eds.), *Pediatric Nutrition in Developmental Disorders*. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas; 1977.
6. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®)*. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association Publishing; 2013.
7. Bandini LG, Anderson SE, Curtin C, Cermak S, Evans EW, Scampini R, Maslin M, Must A. Food selectivity in children with autism spectrum disorders and typically developing children. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 2010;157(2):259-64.
8. Curtin C, Hubbard K, Anderson SE, Mick E, Must A, Bandini LG. Food selectivity, mealtime behavior problems, spousal stress, and family food choices in children with and without autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2015;45:3308–3315.
9. Laud RB, Girolami PA, Boscoe JH, Gulotta CS. Treatment outcomes for severe feeding problems in children with Autism

- Spectrum Disorder. Behavior Modification. 2009;33:520–536.
10. Emond A, Emmett P, Steer C, Golding J. Feeding symptoms, dietary patterns, and growth in young children with autism spectrum disorders. *Pediatrics*. 2010;126:e337–e342.
 11. Kuschner ES, Eisenberg IW, Orionzi B, Simmons WK, Kenworthy L, Martin A, Wallace GL. A preliminary study of self-reported food selectivity in adolescents and young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2015;15:53–59.
 12. Thullen M, Bonsall A. Co-parenting quality, parenting stress, and feeding challenges in families with a child diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 2017;47:878–886.
 13. Johnson CR, Foldes E, DeMand A, Brooks M. Behavioral parent training to address feeding problems in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A pilot trial. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*. 2015;27:591–607. DOI: 10.1007/s10882-015-9437-1.
 14. Sharp WG, Burrell TL, Jaquess DL. The autism MEAL plan: A parent-training curriculum to manage eating aversions and low intake among children with autism. *Autism*. 2014;18:712–722.
 15. Aman MG, McDougle CJ, Scahill L, Handen B, Arnold LE, Johnson C, Stigler KA, Bearss K, Butter E, Swiezy NB, Sukhodolsky DD, Ramadan Y, Pozdol SL, Nikolov R, Lecavalier L, Kohn AE, Koenig K, Hollway JA, Korzekwa P, Gavaletz A, Mulick JA, Hall KL, Dziura J, Ritz L, Trollinger S, Yu S, Vitiello B, Wagner A, Research Units on Pediatric Psychopharmacology Autism Network. Medication and parent training in children with pervasive developmental disorders and serious behavior problems: results from a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2009;48:1143–1154.
 16. Karanth P. Communication DEALL Developmental Checklists. Bangalore: Com DEALL Trust; 2007.
 17. Cherif L, Boudabous J, Khemekhem K, Mkawer S, Ayadi H, Moalla Y. Feeding problems in children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Family Medicine*. 2018;1(1):30.
 18. Johnson CR, Brown K, Hyman SL, Brooks MM, Aponte C, Levato L, Schmidt B, Evans V, Huo Z, Bendixen R, Eng H. Parent training for feeding problems in children with autism spectrum disorder: Initial randomized trial. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*. 2019;44(2):164-75.
 19. Padmanabhan PS, Shroff H. Addressing mealtime behaviours of children with autism spectrum disorders in schools: a qualitative study with educators in Mumbai, India. *International Journal of Developmental Disabilities*. 2020;1-9.

© 2021 Khan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/75532>