Journal of Engineering Research and Reports





Prediction and Optimization of Production Quantities in Innoson Manufacturing Extraction Plastic Product

Ezeliora, Chukwuemeka Daniel^{1*}, Okoye, Peter Chukwuma² and U. Mbabuike, Ikenna³

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Federal Polytechnic, Oko, Anambra State, Nigeria. ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Federal Polytechnic, Nekede, Imo State, Nigeria. ³Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Federal Polytechnic, Uwana, Afikpo, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JERR/2019/v6i216947 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Djordje Cica Associate Professor, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina. (1) Ali Fadhil Naser, Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq. (2) J. Dario Aristizabal-Ochoa, National University of Colombia, Colombia. (3) Jianhui Yang, Henan Polytechnic University, China. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49666</u>

Short Research Article

Received 10 April 2019 Accepted 19 June 2019 Published 18 July 2019

ABSTRACT

In this research, it focused on the prediction and optimization of the production quantity in Innoson Plastic Manufacturing Company, Nnewi, Anambra State, Nigeria. The research method used is the application of factorial design methods to model, to evaluate the best optimal solutions for the production quantity of extrusion plastic pipes in the aforementioned company. The analysis shows that the parameters used to model the production quantity are significant and the model produced is also significant with its coefficient of determination to be 0.9968 and the adjusted R-Squared is 0.9823. Adequate Precision measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio greater than 4 is desirable. The ratio of 29.271 indicates an adequate signal. This model can be used to navigate the design space. The Model F-value of 68.99 implies the model is significant. There is only a 1.44% chance that an F-value this large could occur due to noise. Values of "Prob > F" less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. The 3D surface plot shows the effect of the variables in production

*Corresponding author: Email: cezeliora@googlemail.com;

system. It describes the variations of the input and output parameters in production of plastic extrusion products. The factorial design method applied shows the optimal solution which revealed that the best quantity of the product that is necessary to produce in any given month is 14414.112 units of a 25mm diameter plastic pipes with the optimal desirability of 100%. The tool also shows that the pigment is almost not important in the optimization of the product production quantity due to its insignificant quantity. However, the results further revealed that the industry should be conscious of highly influence input variable during production.

Keywords: Optimization; factorial design; production; plastics; pipes; desirability; significance.

1. INTRODUCTION

The production process is concerned with transforming a range of inputs into those outputs. This involves two main sets of resources - the transforming resources, and the transformed resources. The transforming resources include the buildings, machinery, computers, and people that carry out the transforming processes. The transformed resources are the raw materials and components that are transformed into end products. Any production process involves a series of links in a production chain. At each stage value is added in the course of production. Adding value involves making a product more desirable to a consumer so that they will pay more for it. Adding value therefore is not just about manufacturing, but includes the marketing process including advertising, promotion and distribution that make the final product more desirable. It is very important for businesses to identify the processes that add value, so that they can enhance these processes to the ongoing benefit of the business. Production is very critical to economic growth, prosperity and a higher standard of living. It is a catalyst for industrial and economic development. Its satisfy economic want of individual, communities and nations by production of things in workshops by utilizing men, materials, machines, money and methods [1]. Essentially, manufacturing can be simply define as value addition processes by which raw materials of low utility and value to its inadequate material properties and poor irregular size, shape and finish are converted into high utility and valued product with definite dimensions, forms, and finish imparting some functional ability by utilizing resources [2]. The resources could be people, machines, computers and/or organized integration of one or more of the above mentioned [3]. To realize higher efficiency, there must be optimal allocation of these resources to activities of production.

1.1 The Aim of the Study

The aim of this research work is to predict and to optimize the production quantities of Innoson

manufacturing extrusion plastic products in Nnewi, Anambra State, Nigeria.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Optimization is finding an alternative with the most cost effective or highest achievable performance under the given constraints, by maximizing desired factors and minimizing undesired ones [4]. The researches on related literatures were also emphasized to express the empirical related works in the research. Christopher (2011) expressed that Manketti oil was used as a feedstock to produce the biodiesel was extracted from manketti nut. An alkali catalyst transesterfication process was adopted [5]. A statistical model was developed to correlate the transesterification process variables to the yield of fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) using a central composite design (CCD) by a response surface methodology. The transesterification process variables were reaction temperature x1, (30°C-65°C), amount of catalyst x2, (0.5-1.5 wt %), amount of methanol in the oil x3, (10-50 wt%), and reaction time (30-90 min). The essential fuel properties such as density, flash point, viscosity, and acid number were measured and compared with other types of biodiesel produced from wild nuts and American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM) standards for biodiesel. From the results, the optimum conditions for the production of FAME obtained were as follows: reaction temperature 55°C, reaction time 53 min, amount of catalyst 1.02 wt%, and amount of methanol in the oil of 32 wt%. The optimum yield of FAME that can be produced was 98.3%. The results show that the important fuel properties of the biodiesel produced in optimum conditions met the biodiesel ASTM standard [5].

Production planning and scheduling belongs to different decision making levels in process operations, they are also closely related since the result of planning problem is the production target of scheduling problem. It is necessary to develop methodologies that can effectively integrate production planning and scheduling. A lot of researchers have done extensive work in developing efficient solution strategies [6,7]. According to Veeke and Lodewijks, (2005), production planning usually fulfils its functions by determining the required capacities and materials for these orders in quantity and time [8]. According to Stevenson, (2009), in the decision making hierarchy, scheduling decisions are the final step in the transformation process before actual output occurs [9].

(2013) presents an experimental Abdullah investigation into the effects of using bio-diesel on diesel engine performance and its emissions [10]. The bio-diesel fuels were produced from vegetable oils using the transesterification process with low molecular weight alcohols and sodium hydroxide then tested on a steady state engine test rig using a Euro 4 four cylinder Compression Ignition (CI) engine. Production optimization was achieved by changing the variables which included methanol/oil molar ratio, NaOH catalyst concentration, reaction time, reaction temperature, and rate of mixing to maximize bio-diesel yield. The technique used was the response surface methodology. In addition, a second-order model was developed to predict the bio-diesel yield if the production criteria is known. The model was validated using additional experimental testing. Christopher (2013), studied biodiesel was produced from waste cooking oil (WCO) using calcium oxide (CaO) as a heterogeneous catalyst [6]. The effect of experimental variables such as temperature, reaction time, methanol to oil ratio, and amount of catalyst were investigated. Using a central composite design (CCD) of experiments variables, a mathematical model was developed to correlate the experimental variables to the percentage of biodiesel yield. The model shows optimum conditions for biodiesel production were

found as follows: amount of catalyst of 2.75 grams, temperature 73.23 °C, methanol to oil ratio 30.08 wt % and reaction time of 3.86 h. A yield of 85.96 % biodiesel was obtained. The results show that the important fuel properties of the biodiesel produced at optimum conditions met the biodiesel ASTM standard [6].

In summary, the reviewed literatures have shown that the research area under investigation is new and genuine. The researchers however, proceed with the method used for the analysis of this research.

3. RESEARCH METHOD

The research method used for data analysis is the application of minimum run characterization design method in factorial design. It is a tool in Design Expert software which is used to model, evaluate and analyze the production quantities under study. Data was analyzed by using factorial design method to optimize the actual quantity needed to be produce in the plastic under production using the appropriate input variables over the month in the manufacturing industry.

Table 1 reveals the process parameters and the response parameters used in production of the extrusion plastic pipe products. It reveals the raw materials which comprises of component one to six. It's the process parameters (that is raw materials) combined to produced the finished production quantity of a 25mm diameter extrusion pipe over the month for the year 2018. The applied raw materials in the appropriate quantity will give rise to the finished production quantity.

Fig. 1, shows the boundaries of the process parameters (or the raw materials) used in the experiment.

	🤊 (° 🍯 '	? 😵					
Combined Moture Response Surface Factorial	Design for 6	to 50 factors	where each t nber of runs	actor is set t	o 2 levels. Re ain clean resu @ Hori	solution V de Its. zontal	Design signs will allow estimation of main effects. Two-factor interactions will only be aliased with three-factor and higher interactions. Excellent
Randomized					() Vert	ical	
Regular Two-Level		Name	Units	Туре	Low	High	
Min-Run Characterize	A [Numeric]	PVC		Numeric	13940	17352	
Min-Run Screen	B [Numeric]	Sterbilizer		Numeric	52	578	
Plackett-Burman	C [Numeric]	Calcium		Numeric	310	3470	
Taguchi OA Multilevel Categoric	D [Numeric]	Steric		Numeric	5	58	
Optimal (custom)	E [Numeric]	Titanium		Numeric	0.3	5	
Split-Plot Regular Two-Level	F [Numeric]	Pigment		Numeric	0.2	3]
Multilevel Categoric Optimal (custom) Simple Sample							

Fig. 1. Variables of the input parameters

Std	Run	Component 1	Component 2	Component 3	Component 4	Component 5	Component 6	Response1
		A:PVC (kg)	B:Sterbilizer (kg)	C:Calcium (kg)	D:Steric (kg)	E:Titanium (kg)	F:Pigment (kg)	Output
		kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	units
1	1	17101.8	578	310	5	5	0.2	8060
10	2	17048.8	578	310	58	5	0.2	7600
8	3	17053.4	578	310	58	0.4	0.2	10822
3	4	17352	52	535.6	58	0.4	3	6020
12	5	14414.8	52	3470	58	5	0.2	2340
7	6	13891.6	578	3470	58	0.4	2	6510
5	7	17100	578	310	5	5	2	14310
6	8	17106.4	578	310	5	0.4	0.2	6820
11	9	14472.4	52	3470	5	0.3	0.2	7750
2	10	17352	52	531	58	5	2	4560
4	11	13891.6	578	3470	58	0.4	2	1280
9	12	13940	578	3470	5	5	2	2860

Table 1. Production variables

) 📽 🖬 🖁 🛱 🛱 🦻	(° 🙆 ? :	\$ <mark>€</mark>				
Combined	Minimum	-Run Re	solution	V Charac	terization De	esign
Mixture Response Surface Factorial	statistically signi historical data). power for each by choosing a la	ficant and also The ratio will the response. A pro rger design or r	the estimated stan en be calculated in obability of 80% or	dard deviation of e the Delta/Sigma fi higher is recomm ncile yourself to n	num change the design : each response (general ield. Press Continue to se ended. If power is low, o ot detecting a signal this	y obtained from ee the calculated consider adding runs
Regular Two-Level Min-Run Characterize rregular Res V Min-Run Screen Plackett-Burman	Responses	: 1 v	(1 to 999) Ed	t Model 🗌 E	Edit response types	
Taguchi OA Multilevel Categoric	Name	Units	Diff. to detect Delta("Signal")	Est. Std. Dev. Sigma("Noise")	Delta/Sigma (Signal/Noise Ratio)	
Optimal (custom) split-Plot Regular Two-Level Multilevel Categoric Optimal (custom)	Output	unit	2	1	2	

Fig. 2. Variables of the output parameters

	Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type III]									
Source	Sum of		Mean	F	p-value					
	Squares	df	Square	Value	Prob > F					
Model	6113.71	9	679.30	68.99	0.0144	significant				
A-PVC	12.75	1	12.75	1.29	0.3732	-				
B-Sterbilizer	73.19	1	73.19	7.43	0.1123					
C-Calcium	10.19	1	10.19	1.03	0.4161					
D-Steric	8.44	1	8.44	0.86	0.4522					
E-Titanium	1263.03	1	1263.03	128.27	0.0077					
AB	534.01	1	534.01	54.23	0.0179					
BD	551.92	1	551.92	56.05	0.0174					
BE	132.07	1	132.07	13.41	0.0671					
CD	44.34	1	44.34	4.50	0.1679					
Residual	19.69	2	9.85							
Cor Total	6133.40	11								

Table 2. ANOVA for selected factorial model

Fig. 2 expressed the response parameter (or the finished production quantity) of the 25mm extrusion plastic pipe product. The application of the Minimum-Run Resolution Characterization Design of Factorial method was applied to evaluate the optimal solution of the production quantity in the case study company.

The Model F-value of 68.99 implies the model is significant. There is only a 1.44% chance that an F-value this large could occur due to noise. Values of "Prob > F" less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. In this case E, AB, BD are significant model terms.


Values greater than 0.1000 indicate the model terms are not significant. If there are many insignificant model terms (not counting those required to support hierarchy), model reduction may improve your model.


The R-squared is 0.9968 and the adjusted R-Squared is 0.9823. The predicted R-squared of is nil however, the difference is less than 0.2. This indicates a positive effect or a possibility of achieving the results with the developed model and data. Case(s) with leverage of 1.0000: predicted R-squared and predicted residual error sum of square (PRESS) statistic not defined.

Adequate precision measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio greater than 4 is desirable. The ratio of 29.271 indicates an adequate signal. This model can be used to navigate the design space.

Final Equation in	Terms of Actual Factors
Sqrt(Output)	=
-51.37221	
+5.26319E-003	* PVC
+0.41796	* Sterbilizer
+9.81856E-004	* Calcium
+0.69827	* Steric
+11.38566	* Titanium
-1.97956E-005	* PVC * Sterbilizer
-1.90140E-003	* Sterbilizer * Steric
-0.012692	* Sterbilizer * Titanium
-7.95149E-005	* Calcium * Steric

The equation in terms of actual factors can be used to make predictions about the response for given levels of each factor. Here, the levels should be specified in the original units for each factor. This equation should not be used to





determine the relative impact of each factor because the coefficients are scaled to accommodate the units of each factor and the intercept is not at the center of the design space.

Figs. 3a, 3b and 3c of a 3D surface plot show the effect of the variables in production system. It describes the variations of the input and output parameters in production of plastic extrusion products. Fig.3a shows that increase in PVC and sterbilizer will increase the response parameter (that's the finished 25mm plastic pipe finished product). Fig.3b shows that an increase or decrease in the production quantity doesn't have any effect on the increase or decrease in steric acid quantity. But decrease in finished production quantity will slightly increase the calcium raw material and vice versa. Fig. 3c shows that an increase or decrease in the production quantity doesn't have any effect on the increase or decrease in pigment. But increase in finished production quantity will increase the titanium raw material.




Fig. 3b. The 3D Surface Plot for Calcium and Steric

Std. Dev.	3.14	R-Squared	0.9968
Mean	77.89	Adj R-Squared	0.9823
C.V. %	4.03	Pred R-Squared	N/A
PRESS	N/A	Adeq Precision	29.271
-2 Log Likelihood	40.00	BIC	64.85
-		AICc	280.00




Fig. 3c. The 3D Surface Plot for Pigment and Titanium

4. OPTIMIZATION OF THE SOLUTIONS

Fig. 4 described the criteria applied for the development of the optimization used to achieve the optimal solution of the parameters. It also determine the parameter goals of the optimal solution in the system.

In Fig. 5, the optimization solution report reveals that the model found over a hundred (100) Solutions, but the selected desired solution is the first solution with its desirability of 100% and

production output of 14414.112 units of plastic extrusion pipe products. The input parameters with the symbol * has no effect on the optimization results.

Fig. 6 express the graphical results of the optimal solutions selected as its in table.

Fig. 7 express the rate of desirability of all the variables under investigation. The result shows that calcium is most desired in extrusion plastic pipe production.


Notes for MyDesign	A Criteria		Solutions	s 💽	Graphs
Design (Actual) Summary Graph Columns Weight Columns Design (Actual)	A:PVC B:Sterbilizer C:Calcium D:Steric E:Titanium	Output Use Interval (one-sided)			
Analysis 	* F:Pigment Output	Goal	maximize		
- Coptimization			Limits:	Lower 1280	Upper 14310
			Weights:	1	1
Post Analysis	Options			Importance	e: +++ ~
🔛 Point Prediction 🔃 Confirmation 🖹 Coefficients Table				-0	
	1280				14310
			0	utput	


Fig. 4. The criteria for optimal solutions

MyDesign	A Criteria	🗾 So	olutions	C Graphs	5					
n (Actual)	Solutions 1	2 3 4	1 5 6	7 8	9 10	11 12	13 14 15	16 17	18 19 2	20 21 2
mmary	<									
aph Columns -			1	1	1					-
aluation -	Constraints	·								
Output (Analyze			Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper				
tion	Name	Goal	Limit	Limit	Weight		Importance			
al	A:PVC	is in range	13940	17352	1	1	3			
-	B:Sterbilizer	is in range	52	578	1	1	3			
-	C:Calcium	is in range	310	3470	1	1	3			
n -	D:Steric	is in range	510	58	1	1	3			
× -	E:Titanium	is in range	0.3	5	1	1	3			
	F:Pigment	is equal to 1.6	0.2	3	1	1	3			
-		and Processing and								
-	Output	maximize	1280	14310	1	1	3			
1 -	-									
-	Solutions					-				
-	Number		Sterbilizer	Calcium	Steric	Titanium	Pigment*	Same Parce	Desirability	-
-	1		<u>566.516</u>	3320.182	8.546	4.887	<u>1.600</u>	<u>14414.112</u>	<u>1.000</u>	Selected
-	2		52.000	310.000	58.000	5.000		17195.808	1.000	
-	3		556.053	2838.025	8.872	4.893		14327.033	1.000	
-	4	0.0000000000000	52.000	3470.000	58.000	5.000		14319.847	1.000	
-	5		54.186	1372.615	56.125	4.921	1.600	14906.806	1.000	
4	6		578.000	310.000	5.000	5.000	1.600	15017.267	1.000	
-	7	16758.997	74.407	904.150	56.371	4.764	1.600	14701.927	1.000	
-	8		553.475	2744.157	5.772	4.816		14321.943	1.000	
-	9	13962.501	556.839	2429.973	6.040	4.857	1.600	14641.301	1.000	
-	10	16602.400	65.339	1597.924	54.652	4.928	1.600	14377.533	1.000	
_	11	17221.573	107.343	956.661	56.743	4.991	1.600	14950.351	1.000	
1	1 40	47945 909	C0 070	740 000	FC 007	4 507	4 000	45004 050	4 000	

Daniel et al.; JERR, 6(2): 1-11, 2019; Article no.JERR.49666


Fig. 5. The results of the optimal solutions






Minimum run Characterization design in Factorial design method shows the approximation of the desirability on the optimal solution in the production system. Minimum run


Characterization design in Factorial design method shows the approximation of the output on the optimal solution in the production system.



Desirability







A: PVC

Fig. 9. Overlay plot showing the predicted optimal solutions

The overlay plot in Fig. 9 shows the optimal solutions of both the input and output parameters in the production variables.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results discuss were focused on the evaluation, prediction and optimization of the production quantities, the results, tables and Figures developed during the analysis of this research. The data is a combined input of the plastic production raw material and the unit quantity of the finished plastic extrusion pipe produced over any given month. The data was evaluated, analyzed and optimized. The application of analysis of variance (ANOVA) reveals that the variables are significance to model the production variables of the system. However, the coefficient of determination (R-Squared) if the model is 0.9968, while the adjusted R-Squared is 0.9823. The "Pred. R-Squared" of is nil however, the difference is less than 0.2. This indicates a positive effect or a possibility of achieving the results with the developed model and data. The Minimum run Characterization design in Factorial design method analysis shows that sterbilizer is almost not important in the production when compared with other variables. The 3D surface plot shows the effect of the variables in production system.

Finally, the application of the Minimum run Characterization Design in Factorial Design method shows the optimization model that express the optimal solution quantity which is best to produce every month in the aforementioned company is 14414.112 units of plastic extrusion pipes. And the best quantity for the PVC, stabilizer, calcium, steric Acid, titanium and pigment raw material variables to be used are 14057.173 kg, 566.516 kg, 3320.182 kg, 8.546 kg, 4.887 kg and 1.600 kg respectively over the months of production. However, the optimal solutions give a desirability of 1.00 or 100%.

6. CONCLUSION

Having revealed the production variables, it is obvious that optimization system is the gate way to ensure the best in production system and in industrialization sectors. The evaluation and analysis of production optimal quantities have revealed that the optimal solution of the system has 100% percent desirability. However, the optimal solution for the production output is 14414.112 units of 25mm diameter plastic extrusion pipes. Finally, the results were recommended to the case company, to ensure an efficient and more preferred production in their industry.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Jeffrey W. Herrmann. A history of decisionmaking tools for production scheduling. Department of Mechanical Engineering and Institute for Systems Research, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA; 2012.

- 2. Jagadeesha T. Calicut. National Institute of Technology; 2016.
- Krishna Kumar C, Bani K. Sinha. Efficiency based production planning and control models. European Journal of Operational Research. 1999;117:450-469.
- 4. LaForge RL, Craighead CW. Manufacturing scheduling and supply chain integration: A survey of current practice, American Production and Inventory Control Society, Falls Church, Virginia; 1998.
- Enweremadu CC. Optimization of production variables of biodiesel from Manketti using response surface methodology. International Journal of Green Energy. 2011;8(7):768-779. DOI: 10.1080/15435075.2011.600375
- Enweremadu CC. Optimization of production variables of biodiesel using calcium oxide as a heterogeneous catalyst: An optimized process. Energy Book Series: Publisher: Formatex Research Center, Spain, Editors: A. Mendez-Vilas. 2013;320-326.
- Maravelias CT, Sung. Integration of production planning and scheduling: Over view challenges and opportunities. Proceeding Foundation of Computer Aided Process Operations (FCAPO); 2008.
- Veeke RPM, Lodewijks G. Simulation application framework for production planning and scheduling; 3rd Intl Industrial Simulation Conference, Berlin, Germany; 2005.
- 9. Stevenson WJ. Operation management. New York, NY: McGraw—Hill. 2009;734-761.
- Abdullah A. Influence of production variables for biodiesel synthesis on yields and fuel properties, and optimization of production conditions. 2013;103:963-969. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.201 2.09.067

© 2019 Daniel et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49666